The Effect of PMRI's Approach on Students' Problem-Solving Ability in Geometry Topics Among Grade VII Students at SMP Negeri 1 Sipirok

Milda Zohra^{1*}, Mara Samin Lubis²

^{1,2}Universitas Islam Negeri Sumatera Utara ^{1,2}Jl. Williem Iskandar Pasar V, Medan, Indonesia Correspondence Email: milda0305213061@uinsu.ac.id

Received April 30, 2025; Revised June 14, 2025; Accepted June 19, 2025 Available Online June 28, 2025

Abstract:

Problem-solving skills are abilities that students must master by prioritizing the steps involved in the problem-solving process. However, there are still many Junior High School (SMP) students in grade VII who have difficulties in solving mathematical problems, especially on the topic of geometry. This study aims to determine whether the PMRI approach has an impact on students' problem-solving abilities in geometry materials. The population of this study is all grade VII students, with a total of 134 students. The sample selection was conducted using Simple Random Sampling in grades VII-3 and VII-4 of SMP Negeri 1 Sipirok. The research method used was Quasi quasi-experimental design using a data collection technique through a test (pretestposttest) consisting of 3 geometry topic essay questions. The data analysis techniques used were descriptive analysis and inferential analysis. Inferential analysis used a hypothesis test or the Independent Samples t-test. After conducting the research, it was found that the average score of students in the control class increased by 18,375 while the experimental class increased by 38,875, meaning that the problem-solving ability of students in the experimental class with the PMRI approach was 11.06 times higher than the control class. T-test results using IBM SPSS Statistics 30, $\alpha = 5\%$ or 0.05, showed values sig $< \alpha$, that is 0.000 < 0.005, which means that there is an influence of PMRI's approach on students' problem-solving abilities in geometry topics.

Abstrak:

Kemampuan pemecahan masalah merupakan kemampuan yang harus dikuasai siswa dengan mengedepankan langkah-langkah pemecahan masalah. Akan tetapi masih banyak Siswa Menengah Pertama (SMP) kelas VII yang mengalami kesulitan dalam memecahkan masalah matematika, khususnya pada topik geometri. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengetahui apakah pendekatan PMRI berpengaruh terhadap kemampuan pemecahan masalah siswa pada materi geometri. Populasi penelitian ini adalah seluruh siswa kelas VII dengan total 134 siswa. Pemilihan sampel menggunakan *simple random sampling* yaitu di kelas VII-3 dan VII-4 SMP Negeri 1 Sipirok. Metode penelitian yang digunakan adalah *quasi experimental design* dengan menggunakan teknik pengumpulan data melalui tes (*pretest-posttest*) yang terdiri dari 3 soal essai topik geometri. Teknik analisis data yang digunakan adalah analisis deskriptif dan analisis inferensial. Analisis inferensial menggunakan uji hipotesis atau uji-t *independent samples test*. Setelah dilakukan penelitian, didapat nilai rata-rata siswa kelas kontrol meningkat

sebesar 18.375 sedangkan kelas eksperimen meningkat sebesar 38.875, artinya kemampuan pemecahan masalah siswa kelas eksperimen dengan pendekatan PMRI lebih tinggi 11.06 dibandingkan dengan kelas kontrol. Hasil uji-t dengan mengunakan *IBM SPSS Statistics* 30, $\alpha = 5\%$ atau 0.05 menunjukkan nilai *sig* < α , yaitu 0.000 < 0.005 yang artinya terdapat pengaruh pendekatan PMRI terhadap kemampuan pemecahan masalah siswa pada materi geometri.

Keywords:

PMRI Approach, Problem-Solving Ability, Geometry

How to Cite: Zohra, M., & Lubis, M. S. (2025). The Effect of PMRI's Approach on Students' Problem-Solving Ability in Geometry Topics Among Grade VII Students at SMP Negeri 1 Sipirok. *MaPan : Jurnal Matematika dan Pembelajaran*, *13*(1), 103-120. https://doi.org/10.24252/mapan.2025v13n1a6.

INTRODUCTION

A score of 366 in mathematics, 359 in reading, and 383 in science. Indonesia is ranked 69th out of 80 countries. Students' mathematics is still very low, and this gives a view of the need to improve mathematics learning.

Math learning is essential (Afsari, Safitri, Harahap, & Munthe, 2021; Bellinda, Pandra, & Fauziah, 2023) and need to prioritize problem-solving skills (Elita, Habibi, Putra, & Ulandari, 2019). In line with the Regulation of the Minister of National Education Number 22 of 2006 concerning content standards for intermediate mathematics subjects, there are five objectives of mathematics learning, namely mastery in solving problems, including mastery to understand mathematical problems, mastery to design mathematical models, mastery to solve models, modeling and interpreting the solutions produced. One of the goals of learning mathematics is to be able to solve problems, so problem-solving skills are needed to achieve it. The problem-solving skills referenced in this study are from Polya's book entitled "How to Solve It" (Polya, 1973). Students' problem-solving abilities often rely on intuition or using the wrong strategies (Sari, Rosjanuardi, Isharyadi, & Nurhayati, 2024), because often mathematics material is presented abstractly without relating it to the context of real life (Agnesti & Amelia, 2021). Thus, difficulties in solving problems (Cahirati, Makur, & Fedi, 2020; Elita, Habibi, Putra, & Ulandari, 2019), unable to model problems in mathematical form and less skilled in interpreting contextual problems (Asmar, Armiati, Arnawa, & Yarman, 2024), students ignore important information that has been understood in the completion plan (Susanti, Budiarto, & Setianingsih, 2023), and students have not been able to convey the conclusion of the problem-solving clearly (Hidayatullah & Ismail, 2024). This happens because students do not practice working on problems that contain problem-solving skills (Hendriko, Syafriandi, Armiati, & Jamaan, 2024). So, students' mathematical problem-solving skills still need to be improved (Fitri & Abadi, 2021).

Problem-solving ability is the ability of students to prioritize problemsolving steps (Dewi, Suaedi, & Ilyas, 2022). Through problem solving, students must be able to understand, design, solve, and interpret the solutions obtained (Musabik, 2021). Problem-solving skills have an impact on learning outcomes and critical thinking (Agustina, Kismiantini, & Radite, 2024). Students can have good problem-solving skills if they are given practice questions through problematic situations of daily life (Chairani & Suprananto, 2024). Learning models have an impact on problem-solving skills (Durasa, Mertasari, & Pujawan, 2024). So, meaningful learning is needed for students (Purwanti & Purnomo, 2024). Problem-solving skills are skills that require real problems from students' lives and appropriate learning models.

Indonesian realistic mathematics education (PMRI) is an approach developed specifically from realistic mathematics education (RME) for mathematics materials by Hans Freudenthal. Indonesian realistic mathematics education (PMRI) uses real problems that exist in students' minds and environments (Sholihatun, Misdalina, & Jumroh, 2021). Using PMRI, students can design solutions while overcoming a problem (Edwar, Putri, Zulkardi., & Darmawijoyo., 2023). Students become enthusiastic about discovering new ideas in problem-solving (Rosalina & Mandasari, 2021). PMRI is a solution to improve students' ability to reason (Afriansyah, 2012). PMRI's approach improves students' problem-solving skills compared to conventional approaches (Faot & Amin, 2020; Wahidin & Sugiman, 2014). PMRI's approach is said to be very effective because it has a positive impact on students' mathematics learning achievement (Bellinda, Pandra, & Fauziah, 2023), understanding concepts (Listiawati, Sabon, Siswantari, Subijanto, Wibowo, Zulkardi, & Riyanto, 2023; Rawani & Octaria, 2023), problem-solving skills (Suparatulatorn, Jun-on, Hong, Intaros, & Suwannaut, 2023), creative thinking skills (Sari, Widyaningrum, & Rahayu, 2024), and students' high-level thinking skills (Rahmadi, Wahyu, & Oktari, 2024). PMRI is also positively welcomed by teachers because learning mathematics becomes more fun and meaningful (Zakaria & Dewantara, 2024).

Geometry and measurement are topics that are very relevant to students' lives (Rosidah & Ekawati, 2023). Geometry can also be used in the presentation of problems that connect mathematics with abstract phenomena (Siregar, Ginting, & Nasution, 2024), such as introducing objects around students that contain geometric elements, one of which is the motif of a mosque (Siregar, 2024). However, junior high school students in Indonesia still do not understand the concept of geometry (Fajriyah & Susanah, 2022; Rahmah, 2021). The above statement shows students' problems in learning mathematics, so a meaningful learning approach is needed for students. Based on this presentation, the author conducted a study that aimed to see "The Effect of PMRI's Approach on Students' Problem-Solving Ability in Geometry Topics Among Grade VII Students at SMP Negeri 1 Sipirok."

METHODS

The method in this quantitative study uses quasi experimental design, has a control group, but cannot fully function to control external variables that affect the implementation of the experiment (Sugiyono, 2013). The research design used is nonequivalent control group design. This design is almost the same as the pretest-posttest control group design, which uses experimental classes and control classes, while the difference is that the nonequivalent control group design does not randomly select the control class and the experimental class. The design developed by Sugiyono can be seen in the following design table 1.

Table 1. Nonequivalent	Control Group Design
------------------------	----------------------

Posttest	Treatment	Pretest	Group
<i>Y</i> ₁	T_1	<i>X</i> ₁	Exsperiment
<i>Y</i> ₂	T_2	<i>X</i> ₂	Control
	T_2	<i>X</i> ₂	Control

Information:

 X_1 = Pretest of the Experimental Group

 X_2 = Pretest Control Group

T_1	= PMRI Approach
T_2	= Conventional Teaching Methods
<i>Y</i> ₁	= Posttest Kelompok Eksperimen
<i>Y</i> ₂	= Posttest Control Group

The population in this study is all grade VII students of SMP Negeri 1 Sipirok, which consists of 4 classes of 134 students. The sample was selected using a simple random sampling technique, which means that all members of the population have an equal chance of being randomly selected as a sample (Sugiyono, 2013). Through the simple random sampling technique, two classes were selected to be sampled in this study, namely classes VII 3 and VII 4, where each class was filled with 32 people. It further assigns the experimental class and the control class. Each class is given a pretest to assess the students' ability to solve the test.

This study uses pretest and posttest as research instruments to measure students' problem-solving ability in geometry material, namely drawing triangles from 3 essay questions. Data collection to test the research hypothesis was carried out 4 times in the control class and the experimental class. The first meeting began with the provision of a pretest, the second and third meetings were conducted to learn lecture methods for the control class and use the PMRI approach for the experimental class, and the fourth meeting was given a posttest.

Before the test is given to the sample, the test is first validated by an expert and tested for validity on students who are not included in the research sample. There are two validators, namely a mathematics lecturer at UIN North Sumatra and a mathematics teacher at SMP Negeri 1 Sipirok. As well as validity and reliability tests were carried out in class VII-2. After the test has been expertly validated and proven to be valid through pearson product-moment correlation and reliable through Cronbach's Alpha shown in tables 2 and 3, the test is considered to have been able to measure the student's problem-solving ability and has been allowed to be distributed to the sample.

Question	r _{value}	r_{table}	p (sig)	Description
1	0.677	0.3494	0.003	Valid
2	0.877	0.3494	< 0.001	Valid
3	0.952	0.3494	< 0.001	Valid

 Table 2. Validity Test Result

Table 3. Reliability Test Result				
Cronbach's Alpha	r_{table}	Ν		
.748	0.3494	3		

The data analysis technique in this study uses descriptive analysis and inferential analysis. The inferential analysis used in this study is a hypothesis test with an independent samples test. The prerequisite tests used were normality tests with Kolmogorov-Smirnov, homogeneity tests with Levene Statistic, and improvement analysis with n-gain values. Sig score or IBM SPSS α yang digunakan adalah 5% atau 0.05 statistics 30. The hypothesis formulation of this research is as follows:

- *H*_o: There is no influence of PMRI's approach on students' problemsolving ability in Geometry Class VII material at SMPN 1 Sipirok
- *H_a*: There is an Influence of PMRI's Approach on Students' Problem-Solving Ability in Geometry Material in Class VII at SMPN 1 Sipirok.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Through the results of the pretest, it was obtained that the average score of class VII-3 was higher than that of class VII-3, so it was decided that class VII-3 was used as a control class with a conventional learning model (lecture) and class VII-4 as an experimental class that was given treatment in the form of the Indonesian Realistic Mathematical Approach (PMRI). Both classes were given geometry material, namely painting triangles in 2 meetings.

Descriptive Statistics								
	Ν	Min	Maks	Mean	Median	Modus	Std. Deviation	Varians
Pretest Control (VII-3)	32	0	54	27.88	29.5	32	13.524	182.8871
Pretest Experiment (VII-4)	32	0	50	18.44	20.5	21	12.131	147.1573
Posttest Control (VII-3)	32	18	78	46.25	45	46	14.85	220.5161
Posttest Experiment (VII-4)	32	0	100	57.31	68	0	33.605	147.1573
Valid N (listwise)	32							

 Table 4. Descriptive Analysis Results

108 | Volume 13, No 1, June 2025

In table 4, the results of the minimum, maximum, mean, median, mode, standard deviation (looking at the distribution of values), and variance from 2 classes with a sample of 32 people each are shown. Furthermore, the results of the *pretest* of the two classes have the same class interval, so that they can be presented in one form of bar graph, shown in figure 1.



Figure 1. Bar Graph of Students' Problem-Solving Ability on the Pretest

Based on figure 1, it is known that grades 1 to 5 with a score range of 0-43 are filled by 59 students, meaning that the category of students' problemsolving skills is still very low. While in grade 6 it was filled by 5 students, 2 of them got a score of 44 which means that the category of students' problemsolving ability is still very low, and 3 other students, namely 2 students in the control class and 1 student in the experimental class, are in the category of low problem-solving ability. There were 61 students with very low category problem-solving skills and 3 students with low-category problem-solving skills. Thus, it is concluded that students' problem-solving skills are very low in describing triangles.



Figure 2. Percentage of Students' Problem-Solving Ability Based on Pretest

Furthermore, after obtaining the pretest, they were given treatment in the form of a PMRI approach in the experimental class and the control class with the lecture method for 2 meetings. In table 6, the PMRI approach is included in the LKPD (Student Worksheet), containing 8 activities and will be done by students for 5 lesson hours.

No		Characteristics of PMRI
1	Using Real Context	Contains 3 pictures in the shape of a triangle, including a bow, a pizza, and a memorial board. Questions that come from the problem, for example: "Help Yana paint the roof of her house!" accompanied by a picture of Yana and the house -LKPD
2	Using the Model	A partial presentation of the steps to draw a triangle, so that students can use the appropriate model for the other steps -LKPD
3	Using Student Contributions	After students fill out the LKPD, students present to the class
4	Interactivity	Interactivity occurs when students who present with other students have different answers, thus training students to find the location of the problem.
5	Linkages Between Topics	The teacher directs the student to the actual answer

Table 5. PMRI's Steps in LKPD

In the second and third meetings of the experimental class, the LKPD containing 8 activities was given and carried out in groups. The results of the discussion of each activity are presented in front of the class by one group, then given the opportunity to discuss with all existing groups. At the end of the lesson, the teacher is in charge of directing students to the actual answer.

Students will find it easier to solve problems related to drawing triangles through the PMRI approach as follows.

1. After being allowed to do the LKPD, which shows the shape of a triangle that is close to the student in the form of a roof, food, traffic signs, and a triangular bow.

- 2. Doing the assignment in LKPD according to the steps that have been provided in drawing triangles helps students to better understand the problem and get used to planning the problem.
- 3. Using student contributions through the presentation of the results of the group work in front of the class, then.
- 4. There is interaction between students who explain their work with other students in finding ways to solve the problems used, which trains students' abilities in implementing problem-solving plans.
- 5. After the interaction between students and students has begun to find a problem-solving plan, then it ends with the teacher relates the topic of the problem being discussed. This is aimed at students re-examining the results of their work.

Mathematics learning with the PMRI approach was well responded to by students; the students seemed active in working together to solve the given mathematical problem, namely drawing triangles. Following the steps provided in the LKPD makes it easier for students to solve problems and get used to describing the triangle as requested in the question.

After conducting learning in the control and experimental classes, then at the fourth meeting a posttest was carried out to measure students' problemsolving skills. The following are the results of the posttest of the control class and the experimental class.

Class	Control Class Interval	Frequen cy	Frequen cy (%)	Experimen tal Class Intervals	Frequen cy	Frequen cy (%)
1	18-28	4	12.5	0-15	6	18.75
2	29-39	5	15.625	16-32	2	6.25
3	40-50	11	34.375	33-49	1	3.125
4	51 - 61	7	21.875	50-66	6	18.75
5	62-72	3	9.375	67-83	10	31.25
6	73-83	2	6.25	84-100	7	21.875

Table 6. Posttest Frequency Distribution

Based on table 6, neither the control class nor the experimental class is at the same number; the control class has a minimum value of 18 and a maximum of 83, while the experimental class obtains a minimum value of 0 and a maximum of 100. In the control class, there were 13 students with a very low category, 14 students with a low category, 3 students with a medium category, and 2 students with a high problem-solving ability category. The results of the posttest of the experimental class were 10 students in the very low category, 14 students in the medium category, 2 students in the high category, and 6 others in the very high category.



Figure 3. Percentage of KPM Posttest for Control Class Students



Figure 4. Percentage of KPM Posttest for Experimental Class Students

Based on table 4, the average student of the control class increased by 18.375 while the experimental class increased by 38.875. The results of the posttest also showed that the problem-solving ability of students in the experimental class with the PMRI approach was 11.06 times higher than the control class. However, to prove that the PMRI approach has an influence on

students' problem-solving abilities, it is necessary to see improvements after being treated and test the research hypothesis using the t-test.

Statistical improvement of students' problem-solving ability using N-Gain can be seen in table 7.

Number of Students	Condition	Information
11	$g \ge 0.70$	High
12	$0.30 \le g \le 0.69$	Medium
9	g < 0.3	Low

Table 7. Results N-Gain Experimental Class

In table 7, it was found that 11 students had an n-gain level above 0.70, which means a high increase. A total of 12 students had n-gain levels in the moderate category of increase. A total of 9 students had n-gain levels in the low category of increased problem-solving skills. Based on these calculations, the PMRI approach is very influential in improving students' problem-solving skills in geometry material, namely painting triangles.

Before arriving at the t-test, a prerequisite test is needed, namely the normality and homogeneity test. Normality tests were performed to confirm whether the data from the two sample groups had a normal distribution. If the sample has a normal distribution, then the population will also have a normal distribution. The normality test or Kolmogorov-Smirnov test using the IBM SPSS Statistics 30 program can be seen in table 8.

Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a						
	Statistic	df	Sig.			
Pretest Control	.107	32	.200*			
Posttest Control	.090	32	.200*			
Pretest Experiment	.119	32	.200*			
Posttest Experiment	.130	32	.186			

Table 8. Normality Test Results

The data is said to be normal if the Sig. > 0.05. In table 9 in the Sig. Section, all the data > 0.05, so it can be concluded that the data in this study is normally distributed. After obtaining the normally distributed data, a homogeneity test was then carried out with the Levene Statistic to find out whether the data from both groups were homogeneous or not.

Table 9. Homogeneity Test Results						
		Levene Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.	
Pretest	Based on the Mean	2.543	1	57	.116	
Posttest	Based on the Mean	.685	1	57	.411	

Table 9 Homogonaity Tast Results

The data is said to be homogeneous if the sig. value based on mean \geq 0.05. Based on table 10, the sig. value of the two data points is \geq 0.05. So that it can be concluded that the two datasets are homogeneous.

After testing normal and homogeneous data, the last step is to test the hypothesis or the Independent Samples Test with IBM SPSS Statistics 30. H_o Rejected if Sig $< t_{tabel}$ and H_a accepted, H_o accepted if Sig $> t_{table}$ and H_a rejected. In this study, the value of t_{table} is 5% or 0.05.

Table 10. Hypothesis Test Results						
		Т	df	Sig. Two- Sided		
Pretest	Equal variances assumed	4.054	62	0.000		
	Equal variances not assumed	4.071	61.763	0.000		
Posttest	Equal variances assumed	4.684	62	0.000		
	Equal variances not assumed	4.728	61.969	0.000		

Table 10 Urmathania Tast D

Based on table 10 in the sig. column, the resulting t_{value} by 0.000 < 0.05so that H_o rejected and H_a accepted. H_a is the influence of PMRI's approach on students' problem-solving abilities in class VII geometry material at SMP Negeri 1 Sipirok. This is in line with the results. Stemn (2017) shows that PMRI is suitable for reacquainting mathematics more closely, or really (Sanal & Elmali, 2024), changing teachers' principles towards RME (Ventistas, Ventista, & Tsani, 2024), PMRI has an impact on students' problem-solving abilities with the choice of items from PISA (Cakiroglu, Guler, Dundar, & Coskun, 2024). PMRI improves student literacy and (Khairunnisak, Johar, Maulina, Zubainur, & Maidiyah, 2024) PMRI has a positive impact on students and teachers in recognising the concept of mathematics.

CONCLUSION

Based on the results and discussion of this study, it can be concluded that the PMRI approach influences students' problem-solving skills. It is evidenced

by the average number of students in the control class, which increased by 18.375, while the experimental class increased by 38.875, meaning that the problem-solving ability of students in the experimental class with the PMRI approach was 11.06 times higher than the control class. The percentage of problem-solving ability of students in the experimental class with the PMRI approach also changed from 95% to 44%. There are 5 learning characteristics with PMRI that make students closer to mathematics, including using real contexts, using models, using student contributions, interactivity, and linkages between topics.

This research has a problem limitation, which is carried out at SMPN 1 Sipirok Class VII Semester II, and the student activities in this study are that students will be focused on students' problem-solving skills on triangle painting materials using PMRI in the experimental class and lecture methods for the control class.

REFERENCES

- Afriansyah, E. A. (2012). Implementasi PMRI dalam materi sifat komutatif dan assosiatif pada bilangan bulat untuk level siswa SD/MI. *Mosharafa: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 1(2), 67–72. https://doi.org/10.31980/mosharafa. v1i2.238.
- Afsari, S., Safitri, I., Harahap, S. K., & Munthe, L. S. (2021). Systematic literature review: Efektivitas pendekatan pendidikan matematika realistik pada pembelajaran matematika. *Indonesian Journal of Intellectual Publication*, 1(3), 189–197. https://doi.org/10.51577/ijipublication.v1i3.117.
- Agnesti, Y., & Amelia, R. (2021). Faktor-faktor kesulitan belajar siswa pada materi perbandingan dengan menggunakan pendekatan kontekstual. *Mosharafa: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 10(2), 311–320. https://doi.org/ 10.31980/mosharafa.v10i2.664.
- Agustina, T. R., Kismiantini, K., & Radite, R. (2024). The effect of mathematical problem-solving ability and mathematics self-concept on learning achievement. *Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika*, 11(1), 27–40. https://dx.doi.org/10.21831/jrpm.v11i1.73046.
- Asmar, S. E., Armiati, A., Arnawa, I. M., & Yarman, Y. (2024). Pengembangan modul ajar matematika berbasis problem based learning untuk meningkatkan kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematis siswa kelas VII SMP. AKSIOMA: Jurnal Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika, 13(1), 304–314.

https://doi.org/10.24127/ajpm.v13i1.8368.

- Bellinda, B., Pandra, V., & Fauziah, A. (2023). Pembelajaran matematika melalui pendekatan pmri terhadap kemampuan pemahaman matematis. *JP2M* (*Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran Matematika*), 9(2), 355–368. https://doi.org/10.29100/jp2m.v9i2.4192.
- Cahirati, P. E. P., Makur, A. P., & Fedi, S. (2020). Analisis kesulitan belajar siswa dalam pembelajaran matematika yang menggunakan pendekatan PMRI. *Mosharafa: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 9(2), 227–238. https://doi.org/ 10.31980/mosharafa.v9i2.606.
- Cakiroglu, U., Guler, M., Dundar, M., & Coskun, F. (2024). Virtual reality in realistic mathematics education to develop mathematical literacy skills. *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*, 40(17), 4661–4673. https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2023.2219960.
- Chairani, N., & Suprananto, S. (2024). Pengaruh model pembelajaran search, solve, create, and share (SSCS) terhadap kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematis peserta didik. *JP2M (Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran Matematika)*, 10(2), 664–672. https://doi.org/10.29100/jp2m.v10i2.6037.
- Dewi, U. S. K., Suaedi, S., & Ilyas, M. (2022). The influence of numerical ability and abstract thinking on mathematical problem solving. *Al-Khwarizmi*: *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam*, 10(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.24256/jpmipa.v10i1.2064.
- Durasa, H., Mertasari, N. M. S., & Pujawan, I. G. N. (2024). Implementasi problem-based learning berdasarkan independensi belajar untuk meningkatkan kemampuan pemecahan masalah dengan mengontrol kecemasan matematis. AKSIOMA: Jurnal Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika, 13(2). https://doi.org/10.24127/ajpm.v13i2.7102.
- Edwar, Putri, R. I. I., Zulkardi., & Darmawijoyo. (2023). Developing a workshop model for high school mathematics teachers in constructing HOTS questions through the pendidikan matematika realistik Indonesia approach. *Journal on Mathematics Education*, 14(4), 603–626. https://doi.org/10.22342/jme.v14i4.pp603-626.
- Elita, G. S., Habibi, M., Putra, A., & Ulandari, N. (2019). Pengaruh pembelajaran problem based learning dengan pendekatan metakognisi terhadap kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematis. *Mosharafa: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 8(3), 447–458. https://doi.org/10.31980/mosharafa.v8i3.580.

- Fadhillah, Nisrina, & Wicaksono, J. W. (2022). Strategi guru dalam mengatasi kesulitan belajar matematika pada siswa kelas IV SD Negeri Samahani. *JPD: Jurnal Pendidikan Dasar*, 13(1), 121–126. https://doi.org/10.21009/ JPD.13.01.
- Fajriyah, N., & Susanah, S. (2022). Profil kemampuan abstraksi reflektif siswa dalam menyelesaikan masalah geometri ditinjau dari gaya belajar. MATHEdunesa: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika, 11(2), 458–473. https://doi.org/10.26740/mathedunesa.v11n2.p458-473.
- Faot, M. M., & Amin, S. M. (2020). Pengaruh pendekatan pendidikan matematika realistik indonesia (PMRI) terhadap hasil belajar siswa. *MATHEdunesa: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika*, 9(1), 55–60. https://doi.org/10.26740/mathedunesa.v9n1.p55-60.
- Febriyani, A., Hakim, A. R., & Hakim, N. (2022). Peran disposisi matematis terhadap kemampuan pemahaman konsep matematika. *Plusminus: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 2(1), 87–100. https://doi.org/10.31980/plusminus. v2i1.1087.
- Fitri, A., & Abadi, A. M. (2021). Kesulitan siswa SMA dalam menyelesaikan soal matematika pada materi peluang. *Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika*, 8(1), 96–105. https://doi.org/10.21831/jrpm.v8i1.17004.
- Hendriko, N., Syafriandi, S., Armiati, A., & Jamaan, E. Z. (2024). Praktikalitas emodul berbasis problem based learning untuk meningkatkan kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematis siswa. AKSIOMA: Jurnal Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika, 13(3), 870–884. https://doi.org/10.24127/ajpm. v13i3.8921.
- Hidayatullah, M. S., & Ismail, I. (2024). Profil pemecahan masalah matematika model pisa siswa smp ditinjau dari tingkat emotional quotient (EQ). *MATHEdunesa: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika*, 13(1), 57–68. https://doi.org/10.26740/mathedunesa.v13n1.p57-68.
- Khairunnisak, C., Johar, R., Maulina, S., Zubainur, C. M., & Maidiyah, E. (2024). Teachers' understanding of realistic mathematics education through a blended professional development workshop on designing a learning trajectory. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 55(4), 805–828. https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2022. 2038800.
- Listiawati, N., Sabon, S. S., Siswantari, Subijanto, Wibowo, S., Zulkardi, & Riyanto, B. (2023). Analysis of implementing realistic mathematics

education principles to enhance the mathematics competence of slow learner students. *Journal on Mathematics Education*, 14(4), 683–700. https://doi.org/10.22342/jme.v14i4.pp683-700.

Musabik, A. I. (2021). Pengaruh model pembelajaran problem based learning dengan media kotak aljabar terhadap kemampuan pemecahan masalah operasi hitung aljabar siswa. *Al-Khwarizmi : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam, 9*(2), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.24256/jpmipa. v9i2.1375.

Polya, G. (1973). How to Solve It. New Jersey: Princeton University Press.

- Purwanti, P., & Purnomo, Y. W. (2024). Efektifitas problem based learning untuk meningkatkan student engagement dan pemecahan masalah materi bilangan pecahan. AKSIOMA: Jurnal Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika, 13(2), 600–609. https://doi.org/10.24127/ajpm.v13i2.8659.
- Rahmadi, J., Wahyu, Y., & Oktari, V. (2024). Implementation of a creative problem-solving model with RME approach on mathematics problemsolving ability. *Jurnal Elemen*, 10(1), 43–54. https://doi.org/10.29408/jel. v10i1.19788.
- Rahmah, S. M. (2021). Profil berpikir geometri siswa SMP dalam menyelesaikan soal geometri ditinjau dari level berpikir van hiele. *MATHEdunesa: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika*, 9(3), 562–569. https://doi.org/10.26740/ mathedunesa.v9n3.p562-569.
- Rawani, D., & Octaria, D. (2023). Pembelajaran sudut melalui tarian kreasi Sumatera Selatan menggunakan pendekatan pendidikan matematika realistik Indonesia di SMP. *Indiktika : Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Matematika*, 6(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.31851/indiktika.v6i1.13210.
- Rosalina, E., & Mandasari, N. (2021). Penerapan pendekatan matematika realistik indonesia (PMRI) terhadap kemampuan pemecahan masalah siswa. Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika (JUDIKA EDUCATION), 4(1), 19–24. https://doi.org/10.31539/judika.v4i1.2108.
- Rosidah, N. E. N., & Ekawati, R. (2023). Numerasi siswa SMP dalam memecahkan soal setara AKM konten geometri dan pengukuran ditinjau dari kecerdasan majemuk. *MATHEdunesa: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika*, 12(1), 259–274. https://doi.org/10.26740/mathedunesa.v12n1. p259-274.

Sanal, S. O., & Elmali, F. (2024). Effectiveness of realistic math education on

118 | Volume 13, No 1, June 2025

mathematical problem-solving skills of students with learning disability. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, 39(1), 109–126. https://doi.org/10.1080/08856257.2023.2191110.

- Sari, L. D., Widyaningrum, I., & Rahayu, C. (2024). Kemampuan berpikir kreatif menggunakan PMRI pada siswa SMP. JP2M (Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran Matematika), 10(2), 615–625. https://doi.org/10.29100/jp2m. v10i2.6006.
- Sari, R. N., Rosjanuardi, R., Isharyadi, R., & Nurhayati, A. (2024). The level of students' proportional reasoning in solving mathematical problems. *Journal* on Mathematics Education, 15(4), 1095–1114. https://doi.org/10.22342/jme. v15i4.pp1095-1114.
- Sholihatun, A. D., Misdalina, M., & Jumroh, J. (2021). Pengembangan media pembelajaran bangun ruang sisi datar menggunakan macromedia flash 8 berbasis pendekatan PMRI. *PYTHAGORAS Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 16(2), 189–203. https://doi.org/10.21831/pythagoras.v16i2.42194.
- Siregar, S. (2024). Eksplorasi etnomatematika terhadap Masjid Syahrun Nur Sipirok sebagai media penyampaian konsep geometri. *Euclid*, 11(2). https://doi.org/10.33603/e.v11i2.9420.
- Siregar, T. J., Ginting, S. S., & Nasution, H. A. G. (2024). Pengembangan bahan ajar mata kuliah geometri transformasi berbasis budaya Sumatera Utara. *Teorema: Teori Dan Riset Matematika*, 9(2), 233–246. https://doi.org/10.25157 /teorema.v9i2.15644.
- Stemn, B. S. (2017). Rethinking mathematics teaching in Liberia: Realistic mathematics education. *Childhood Education*, 93(5), 388–393. https://doi.org/10.1080/00094056.2017.1367230.
- Sugiyono. (2013). *Metode penelitian kuantitatif, kualitatif, dan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Suparatulatorn, R., Jun-on, N., Hong, Y. Y., Intaros, P., & Suwannaut, S. (2023). Exploring problem-solving through the intervention of technology and realistic mathematics education in the calculus content course. *Journal on Mathematics Education*, 14(1), 103–128. https://doi.org/10.22342/jme.v14i1. pp103-128.
- Susanti, S. A., Budiarto, M. T., & Setianingsih, R. (2023). Analisis kemampuan pemecahan masalah numerasi siswa berdasarkan tingkat kecemasan matematis. JRPM (Jurnal Review Pembelajaran Matematika), 8(1), 18–32.

https://doi.org/10.15642/jrpm.2023.8.1.18-32.

- Ventistas, G., Ventista, O. M., & Tsani, P. (2024). The impact of realistic mathematics education on secondary school students' problem-solving skills: A comparative evaluation study. *Research in Mathematics Education*, 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1080/14794802.2024.2306633.
- Wahidin, W., & Sugiman, S. (2014). Pengaruh pendekatan PMRI terhadap motivasi berprestasi, kemampuan pemecahan masalah, dan prestasi belajar. *PYTHAGORAS Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 9(1), 99–109. https://doi.org/10.21831/pg.v9i1.9072.
- Zakaria, Z., & Dewantara, A. H. (2024). Understanding multiplication concept: Exploring PMRI-enhanced thematic learning using traditional games for primary teachers. *Jurnal Elemen*, 10(1), 55–69. https://doi.org/10.29408/ jel.v10i1.19838.