Beyond the State: Pesantren Networks as Shadow Diplomatic Infrastructure in Indonesia-Malaysia Relations

Muhammad Fikri Amra

Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin Makassar

Address: Jl. H.M. Yasin Limpo No. 36, Gowa, South Sulawesi, Indonesia

Corresponding author
muhammadfikriamra@uin-alauddin.ac.id

Abstract: This paper examines the role of pesantren networks as informal diplomatic channels between Indonesia and Malaysia, conceptualizing them as "shadow diplomatic infrastructure" that operates parallel to official state mechanisms. Drawing on institutional analysis and empirical evidence, this study demonstrates how transnational Islamic educational networks have facilitated continuous cross-border engagement, particularly during periods of bilateral tension. The research reveals that pesantren networks, anchored in shared religious traditions and genealogical ties among ulama, constitute a resilient form of Track II diplomacy that predates modern nation-states. Through examination of key pesantren institutions and their regional linkages, this paper argues that these networks provide alternative pathways for bilateral engagement that complement, and occasionally substitute for, formal diplomatic channels. The findings contribute to broader debates on non-state actors in international relations and challenge state-centric frameworks for understanding Southeast Asian regionalism.

Kata Kunci: *pesantren networks, shadow diplomacy, Indonesia-Malaysia relations, Islamic transnationalism*

Introduction

When we think about diplomacy between Indonesia and Malaysia, we typically envision embassies, ministerial meetings, and carefully negotiated treaties. Yet beneath this visible architecture of state relations lies something far more organic and enduring: a vast network of Islamic educational institutions that has connected communities across what we now call national borders for centuries. These pesantren networks represent what might best be understood as shadow diplomatic infrastructure, providing channels for engagement that persist regardless of whether official relations are warm or strained. The bilateral relationship between Indonesia and Malaysia has never been entirely smooth. Disputes over the treatment of migrant workers, competing territorial claims, and emotionally charged debates over cultural heritage have repeatedly tested formal diplomatic ties (Liow, 2005). What remains striking, however, is that even when government-to-government relations have deteriorated, educational exchanges, religious collaborations, and personal connections through pesantren networks have continued largely uninterrupted. This resilience suggests these networks serve functions that extend well beyond their educational purposes.

Understanding pesantren as diplomatic actors matters for reasons that transcend Indonesia-Malaysia relations alone. These networks raise fundamental questions about how diplomacy actually works in postcolonial contexts, where European-imposed state boundaries are often cut across rather than aligned with existing social and religious networks. The pesantren system exemplifies how institutions rooted in pre-colonial traditions have adapted to modern state systems while maintaining a transnational character that resists neat territorial categorization (Laffan, 2003).

Several qualities make pesantren networks particularly effective as diplomatic infrastructure. Their authority derives from religious legitimacy rather than state sanction, allowing them to transcend the nationalist rhetoric that frequently constrains official diplomacy. These networks operate through multigenerational teacher-student relationships, known as silsilah, which create institutional memory and trust that persist despite political fluctuations. Perhaps most importantly, pesantren emphasize a shared Islamic identity that supersedes national boundaries, providing common

ground precisely when nationalist sentiment complicates bilateral relations (Dhofier, 2011).

Table 1: Indonesian Pesantren Statistics (2020)

Category	Number
Total Pesantren Institutions	28,194
Total Students	4,000,000+
International Students (Annual Average)	2,3
Malaysian Students (% of International)	18-22%

Source: Kementerian Agama Republik Indonesia (2020)

The scale of these networks is substantial. Indonesia's Ministry of Religious Affairs reported approximately 28,194 pesantren institutions educating over 4 million students as of 2020. While comprehensive data on Malaysian students in Indonesian pesantren remains limited, institutional records reveal persistent cross-border flows. Pesantren Gontor, among Indonesia's most prominent institutions, has educated students from 47 countries, with Malaysian students representing a significant portion of international enrollment (Pondok Modern Gontor, 2021).

This study engages with three distinct scholarly conversations. Within International Relations theory, it challenges the state-centrism that dominates analyses of Southeast Asian diplomacy. For scholars of Islam in Southeast Asia, it demonstrates the contemporary political relevance of institutions often studied primarily for their religious or educational functions. For those examining Indonesia-Malaysia relations specifically, it illuminates a dimension of bilateral engagement that has received insufficient analytical attention despite its practical significance.

Literature Review

Non-State Actors and Track II Diplomacy

The end of the Cold War sparked considerable scholarly interest in non-state actors and their role in international relations (Risse-Kappen, 1995). Track II diplomacy emerged as a conceptual framework for understanding informal dialogue conducted by non-governmental actors. The influential typology developed by McDonald and Diamond (1996) distinguished multiple tracks of diplomacy, from government-to-government engagement to various non-official forms including academic exchanges, religious networks, and civil society initiatives.

However, this literature predominantly reflects Western experiences and assumptions. Conventional

Track II diplomacy concepts typically assume a clear separation between state and non-state spheres, with informal diplomacy primarily complementing or preparing the ground for official negotiations (Slaughter, 2004). This framework proves less applicable to contexts where boundaries between state and society remain porous, and where non-state actors may possess legitimacy or reach that exceeds state institutions. Recent scholarship has begun addressing these limitations. Sending's (2015) work on multiple paths to authority recognizes that legitimacy can derive from sources beyond state sovereignty, including religious authority, expertise, or moral standing. Similarly, Avant, Finnemore, and Sell (2010) examined how various actors compete for authoritative voice in international affairs. Yet these insights have rarely been applied systematically to Southeast Asian contexts, where the legacy of colonial state-building intersects with resilient pre-colonial networks.

Transnational Islamic Networks in Southeast Asia

Historians and anthropologists have long recognized the transnational character of Islamic institutions in Southeast Asia. Van Bruinessen's (1995) seminal work demonstrated extensive historical connections between Islamic scholars across the region, particularly through educational networks centered on Mecca and later Cairo. These connections created what Laffan (2003) terms "an Islamic ecumene" that transcended emerging colonial boundaries.

The pesantren system has been analyzed primarily through educational, religious, or sociological lenses. Dhofier's (2011) foundational study established the centrality of kyai (Islamic teachers) in maintaining institutional continuity and networks. Lukens-Bull (2005) examined how pesantren adapted to modernization while preserving core traditional elements. More recently, Hefner (2009) explored pesantren responses to political Islam and democratization in Indonesia.

Despite this rich scholarship, the explicitly political dimensions of pesantren networks, particularly their role in international relations, remain underexplored. Exceptions include Azra's (2004) work on the historical influence of Middle Eastern Islamic reform movements on Indonesian Islam, which necessarily involved transnational networks. Fealy and Hooker (2006) examined how Indonesian Islamic organizations engage with Malaysia, though their focus remained primarily on organizations like Nahdlatul Ulama rather than pesantren networks specifically.

Conceptualizing Shadow Diplomatic Infrastructure

This study introduces the concept of "shadow diplomatic infrastructure" to describe informal networks

that enable sustained cross-border engagement parallel to official diplomatic channels. The term "shadow" designates infrastructure operating alongside, but distinct from, formal state mechanisms rather than implying secrecy or illegitimacy. This infrastructure possesses several defining characteristics.

First, it operates with autonomy from state control. While states may recognize or occasionally utilize these networks, they do not control their operation or membership. The networks' authority derives from non-state sources, specifically religious legitimacy and scholarly credentials. Second, unlike ad hoc informal diplomacy, shadow diplomatic infrastructure involves established institutions with historical depth, organizational structures, and recognized engagement protocols. Third, this infrastructure enables regular communication, exchange, and problem-solving across national boundaries, creating channels for information flow and relationship maintenance. The relationship between shadow diplomatic infrastructure and formal diplomacy is one of complementarity rather than opposition. These alternative pathways become particularly valuable when formal diplomacy stalls or when particular issues benefit from informal engagement. Most critically, this infrastructure maintains functionality during periods when formal diplomatic relations deteriorate, providing continuity that official channels cannot sustain.

Theoretically, this concept builds on constructivist insights about the socially constructed nature of international politics and the roles of non-state actors in shaping norms and facilitating cooperation (Wendt, 1999). From sociology, it incorporates network theory's emphasis on social relationships and their structure as explanatory factors (Bourdieu, 1986). From postcolonial studies, it recognizes how colonial impositions of territorial sovereignty intersected with existing social structures, creating layered forms of political organization (Bhabha, 1994).

Result and Discussions

Historical Context: Pesantren Networks in the Malay World

Understanding contemporary pesantren networks requires recognizing their deep historical roots. Islamic education in the Malay world developed as an inherently transnational enterprise. Before European colonization, the region comprising contemporary Indonesia and Malaysia constituted a unified Islamic cultural sphere, despite political fragmentation among various sultanates and kingdoms (Reid, 1993). The Hajj pilgrimage served as a primary mechanism for creating transnational connections among ulama. Southeast Asian Muslims traveling to Mecca often remained for years, studying under prominent scholars before returning to establish their own educational institutions (Laffan, 2003). This created teacher-student genealogies spanning the region, with ulama in Aceh, Patani, Java, and elsewhere connected through common teachers in the Haramain.

The pesantren institutional model itself reflects this transnational character. While local variations existed, core elements remained remarkably consistent across the region: residential Islamic education centered on a charismatic teacher (kyai), emphasis on classical Islamic texts, and the kitab kuning (yellow books) curriculum (van Bruinessen, 1994). This consistency reflected not isolation but ongoing communication and exchange among ulama, who circulated texts, shared pedagogical approaches, and sent students to study with respected teachers regardless of geographic distance.

British and Dutch colonization fundamentally altered Southeast Asia's political geography, dividing the Malay Islamic world along administrative boundaries that would eventually become international borders. The Anglo-Dutch Treaty of 1824 formalized this division, assigning territories that would become Indonesia to Dutch control and those that would become Malaysia to British influence (Liow, 2005).

However, colonial boundaries proved far more effective at dividing political authority than severing social and religious networks. Islamic educational connections persisted across colonial jurisdictions for several reasons. Colonial powers generally adopted policies of limited interference in Islamic affairs, particularly education, as long as it did not challenge colonial authority (Laffan, 2011). This created space for continued ulama interaction. The Hajj pilgrimage continued bringing together Southeast Asian Muslims regardless of which colonial power administered their home territories. Data from the colonial period illustrates network persistence. Records from the Dutch East Indies government show that between 1900 and 1940, an average of 4,000 to 7,000 pilgrims annually departed from Java alone for the Hajj, with significant numbers from other regions (Ricklefs, 2007). These pilgrimages facilitated ongoing contact between Muslims from different colonial jurisdictions, maintaining networks despite political divisions.

Post-Independence Evolution and Contemporary Networks

The emergence of independent Malaysia in 1963 and Indonesia's consolidation under Suharto's New Order regime (1966-1998) established the

contemporary state system. Both countries faced challenges of constructing national identities and managing diverse populations, including assertive Islamic communities. Pesantren networks navigated this environment with considerable skill. In Indonesia, pesantren maintained autonomy from state control, even as some prominent kyai engaged with politics through Nahdlatul Ulama's participation in electoral politics (Fealy, 2001). In Malaysia, pondok schools faced greater pressure to modernize and integrate into the national education system, leading some to transform into madrasahs or religious schools under government supervision (Noor, 2007).

Contemporary evidence demonstrates network persistence. Between 2015 and 2020, Indonesian pesantren received an average of 2,300 international students annually, with Malaysian students comprising approximately 18 to 22 percent of this cohort (Kementerian Agama Republik Indonesia, 2020). Specific institutions report even higher concentrations. Pesantren Gontor's records indicate that between 2010 and 2020, Malaysian students constituted 35 to 40 percent of its international student body, representing the largest foreign student group (Pondok Modern Gontor, 2021).

Institutional partnerships further illustrate network depth. A 2019 survey by the Center for the Study of Islam and Society at Syarif Hidayatullah State Islamic University Jakarta identified 47 formal partnership agreements between major Indonesian pesantren and Malaysian Islamic educational institutions, facilitating regular faculty exchanges, curriculum development collaboration, and joint research initiatives (PPIM UIN Jakarta, 2019).

Educational Exchange and Institutional Linkages

Educational exchange constitutes the most fundamental mechanism through which pesantren networks function as diplomatic infrastructure. Malaysian students continue studying at major Indonesian pesantren, while Indonesian ulama occasionally teach in Malaysian institutions. These exchanges create interpersonal relationships that transcend formal diplomatic channels.

Table 2: Cross-Border Educational Flows (2015-2019)

Indicator	Number/Percentage
Malaysian Students in Indonesian Islamic Institutions	11,500 (cumulative)
Formal Partnership Agreements (Pesantren-Malaysian Institutions)	47
Malaysian Alumni in Leadership Positions	68%

Sources: *Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Islam (2020); PPIM UIN Jakarta (2019); Ahmad & Hassan (2018)*

Quantitative data illustrates the scale of these exchanges. Between 2015 and 2019, cumulative enrollment of Malaysian students in Indonesian Islamic educational institutions totaled approximately 11,500 students (Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Islam, 2020). While this represents a small fraction of total Indonesian Islamic education enrollment, the strategic significance lies in the leadership positions many alumni occupy upon returning to Malaysia. Research examining alumni networks of three major Indonesian pesantren found that 68 percent of Malaysian alumni surveyed held leadership positions in Islamic educational institutions, religious organizations, or community organizations in Malaysia (Ahmad & Hassan, 2018). This creates networks of individuals with deep personal connections to Indonesia and understanding of Indonesian perspectives, potentially influencing Malaysian Islamic discourse on bilateral issues.

Educational connections serve multiple diplomatic functions. They create personal relationships between Indonesian and Malaysian Islamic leaders, providing informal communication channels during bilateral tensions. They foster mutual understanding of respective national contexts, reducing misunderstanding. They generate goodwill that can be leveraged when formal relations deteriorate. Finally, they create constituencies in both countries with interests in maintaining positive bilateral relations (Tan, 2011).

Ulama Genealogies and Teacher-Student Networks

Central to pesantren tradition is the concept of silsilah, or genealogies of Islamic knowledge transmission through teacher-student relationships. These genealogies create networks of obligation and respect operating independently of state structures (Dhofier, 2011). Prominent Indonesian kyai often have students or spiritual followers in Malaysia, creating cross-border networks of religious authority. When these kyai speak on issues affecting bilateral relations, their influence extends beyond Indonesian borders.

A 2017 study examining social media influence of 50 prominent Indonesian ulama found that an average of 22 percent of their social media followers were based in Malaysia, with some individual kyai having Malaysian follower proportions exceeding 35 percent (Wahid Foundation, 2017). These genealogical networks possess particular resilience because they rest on religious authority rather than political position. A kyai's influence derives from religious knowledge, piety, and charisma, qualities that transcend national boundaries and persist

despite political fluctuations (Geertz, 1960). This makes ulama networks more stable than many forms of Track II diplomacy that depend on individuals' political positions or organizational affiliations.

Institutional Collaboration and Joint Programming

Beyond individual relationships, institutional collaboration between Indonesian and Malaysian Islamic organizations creates ongoing engagement. Joint conferences, collaborative research, and coordinated religious programming bring together Indonesian and Malaysian participants regularly, maintaining communication channels independent of state-to-state relations.

Organizations like Nahdlatul Ulama maintain formal relationships with Malaysian Islamic organizations, conducting regular exchanges and consultations (Fealy & Hooker, 2006). Between 2015 and 2020, Nahdlatul Ulama conducted 23 formal bilateral programs with Malaysian counterparts, including joint conferences on Islamic education, interfaith dialogue, and responses to extremism (PBNU Lajnah Ta'awun, 2020).

Table 3: Institutional Collaboration During COVID-19 (March 2020 - December 2021)

Type of Program	Number of Programs
Joint Webinars on Pandemic Management	15
Coordinated Religious Guidance Initiatives	12
Collaborative Fundraising Efforts	10
Total Bilateral Programs	37

Source: Kementerian Agama RI (2021)

Such collaboration often focuses on religious issues like Islamic jurisprudence, religious education, and responding to extremism, but inevitably touches on matters with political implications. Discussions of Indonesian migrant workers in Malaysia, for instance, may occur in Islamic organizational contexts as matters of Muslim welfare, creating space for addressing politically sensitive issues through religious rather than explicitly diplomatic frameworks (Liow, 2005).

Digital Networks and Contemporary Communication

Contemporary pesantren networks increasingly utilize digital technologies for maintaining cross-border connections. Social media platforms, messaging applications, and video conferencing enable real-time communication and coordination that earlier generations could not achieve.

Table 4: Digital Network Engagement (2020)

Platform	Pesantren Usage Rate
Facebook	94%
WhatsApp Groups	85%
Instagram	78%
YouTube	71%
Regular International Digital Interactions	67%

Source: PPIM UIN Jakarta (2020)

A 2020 survey examining digital media usage among 120 pesantren in Indonesia found that 89 percent maintained active social media presence, with 67 percent reporting regular digital interactions with international audiences, including Malaysian followers and counterparts (PPIM UIN Jakarta, 2020). These digital networks create new forms of shadow diplomatic infrastructure. Online discussions among ulama and pesantren leaders from both countries allow rapid information exchange and coordination impossible through traditional channels. During bilateral tensions, these networks can quickly disseminate alternative perspectives, coordinate responses, and maintain dialogue when official channels face obstacles.

Migrant Worker Disputes and Islamic Responses

Recurring tensions over the treatment of Indonesian migrant workers in Malaysia provide insight into how pesantren networks function during bilateral strain. These disputes have periodically escalated to formal diplomatic protests and generated significant anti-Malaysian sentiment in Indonesia. As of 2019, Indonesia remained the largest source of foreign workers in Malaysia, with approximately 1.13 million registered Indonesian workers comprising 32.4 percent of Malaysia's total foreign workforce (Department of Statistics Malaysia, 2020). Cases of worker abuse, delayed wages, and inadequate legal protection have generated recurring diplomatic tensions.

During major worker dispute escalations in 2009, 2014, and 2018-2019, Islamic organizations closely tied to pesantren networks responded in ways that both reflected and sought to moderate Indonesian nationalist sentiment. Nahdlatul Ulama and Muhammadiyah issued statements condemning worker abuse while emphasizing the importance of maintaining bilateral relations and protecting workers' welfare rather than simply escalating

conflict (Fealy & Hooker, 2006). Significantly, these organizations utilized cross-border Islamic networks to communicate concerns directly to Malaysian counterparts. Following a major worker abuse case in 2018, Nahdlatul Ulama's leadership communicated with Malaysian Islamic organizations through established network channels, discussing worker protection as a matter of Islamic obligation rather than in purely nationalist terms (PBNU Lajnah Ta'awun, 2019). Malaysian Islamic organizations, facing their own domestic pressures, could engage these issues through the lens of Islamic principles regarding proper treatment of Muslims and employers' obligations under Islamic law rather than being forced into purely nationalist postures.

Cultural Heritage Disputes and Shared Identity Narratives

Disputes over cultural heritage, particularly Malaysian appropriation of cultural elements with Indonesian origins, have generated significant bilateral tension. These disputes carry particular emotional weight because they touch on national identity and historical narratives. Notable cases include the 2007 controversy over Malaysia's tourism campaign featuring Pendet dance, a Balinese traditional dance, and the 2009 dispute over Malaysian claims to batik and wayang kulit as part of Malaysian heritage (Milner, 2008). These incidents sparked intense Indonesian nationalist reactions, with social media campaigns and public protests demanding government action.

During these tensions, pesantren networks responded by emphasizing shared cultural and religious heritage that transcends contemporary national boundaries. Media analysis examining responses to the 2009 cultural heritage disputes found that 47 percent of public statements by prominent ulama emphasized shared Malay-Islamic heritage, compared to only 23 percent of statements by political figures (LIPI, 2010). Ulama with connections in both countries publicly reminded audiences that much cultural heritage predates nation-states and belongs to a broader Malay-Islamic cultural sphere (Azra, 2006). While this framing does not resolve disputes over which nation can claim particular cultural elements, it offers an alternative narrative to zero-sum nationalist competition. By emphasizing common heritage, these interventions create space for solutions like shared recognition or joint preservation efforts that pure nationalist frameworks would resist.

The COVID-19 pandemic created unprecedented challenges for bilateral relations, including border closures affecting migrant workers, disrupted trade, and coordinating public health responses. During this period, pesantren networks demonstrated their function as resilient shadow diplomatic infrastructure. Between March 2020 and December 2021, Indonesian Islamic organizations conducted 37 virtual bilateral programs with Malaysian counterparts focusing on pandemic responses, including 15 joint webinars on Islamic perspectives on pandemic management, 12 coordinated religious guidance initiatives, and 10 collaborative fundraising efforts for affected communities (Kementerian Agama RI, 2021).

Specific initiatives included coordinated fatwa development on pandemic-related religious issues. In April 2020, the Indonesian Council of Ulama and Malaysia's Department of Islamic Development conducted joint consultations through established network channels to develop harmonized religious guidance on congregational prayer restrictions, Ramadan observances, and Hajj cancellation (MUI, 2020). While not formally diplomatic efforts, these coordinations demonstrated network capacity to facilitate cross-border cooperation during periods when official diplomatic mechanisms faced challenges due to travel restrictions and resource constraints.

Theoretical Contributions to International Relations

The analysis of pesantren networks as shadow diplomatic infrastructure challenges conventional International Relations frameworks in several ways. First, it demonstrates that effective Track II diplomacy in Southeast Asia may rest not on liberal civil society institutions but on traditional religious networks with deep historical roots. Western-oriented Track II diplomacy literature emphasizes professional conflict resolution, academic expertise, and civil society organizations modeled on Western templates (Diamond & McDonald, 1996). Pesantren networks suggest alternative models based on religious authority, traditional education, and pre-colonial social structures.

Second, the shadow diplomatic infrastructure concept highlights how some forms of non-state diplomacy possess structural characteristics making them particularly resilient. Unlike ad hoc Track II initiatives requiring active maintenance and state facilitation, pesantren networks operate continuously with their own institutional logics and funding sources. Research indicates that 78 percent of pesantren funding comes from community donations, tuition, and endowments, with only 22 percent from government sources (Kementerian Agama RI, 2019). This financial autonomy parallels operational independence, enabling networks to maintain cross-border engagement regardless of government preferences.

Third, the analysis reveals how colonial and

postcolonial state formation created layered diplomatic landscapes. The imposition of Westphalian sovereignty on a region with existing transnational networks did not eliminate those networks but created dual structures with formal state-to-state relations overlaying persistent social and religious connections (Jackson & Nexon, 1999). Understanding Southeast Asian international relations requires analyzing both layers and their interactions.

Comparative Analysis: Pesantren Networks vs. Other Track II Mechanisms

Comparing pesantren networks with other forms of Track II diplomacy reveals distinctive characteristics. Unlike academic Track II initiatives typically focusing on specific issues or time-limited dialogues, pesantren networks maintain continuous operation independent of the state of bilateral relations. Research comparing different Track II mechanisms in Southeast Asia found that religious networks, including pesantren connections, demonstrated 3.2 times higher continuity rates during bilateral tension periods compared to academic or business-focused Track II initiatives (ASEAN Institute for Strategic Studies, 2018).

Pesantren networks derive their authority from religious legitimacy rather than state sanction, allowing them to transcend nationalist rhetoric that often constrains official diplomacy. This contrasts with many Track II mechanisms where participants' credibility depends partly on perceived connections to official policymaking circles. Interviews with 45 Track II diplomacy participants found that 72 percent of religious network participants reported greater freedom to explore controversial positions compared to 43 percent of academic Track II participants and 31 percent of business forum participants (ASEAN Studies Center, 2019).

Limitations and Challenges

While emphasizing the significance of pesantren networks, important limitations warrant acknowledgment. First, these networks cannot resolve fundamental conflicts of state interest. Territorial disputes, resource competition, and domestic political imperatives driving bilateral tensions often prove impervious to informal diplomatic engagement regardless of its quality or depth.

Second, the effectiveness of pesantren networks as diplomatic infrastructure depends on their perceived legitimacy, which varies across different publics and may be declining in some contexts. As both Indonesia and Malaysia modernize and become more pluralistic, traditional Islamic institutions face challenges from secularization, religious pluralism, and generational change (Ricklefs, 2012). A 2019 Pew Research Center survey found that among Indonesian Muslims aged 18 to 29, only 34 percent considered traditional ulama "very important" sources of guidance on social issues, compared to 67 percent among those aged 50 and older.

Third, pesantren networks can exacerbate tensions in certain contexts. When Islamic identity becomes politicized or when religious nationalism emerges, networks that might otherwise moderate conflict could instead amplify religious dimensions of disputes. The relationship between Islamic networks and nationalism remains complex and contextual (Roy, 2004).

Policy Implications for Indonesia-Malaysia Relations

For Indonesia-Malaysia relations specifically, recognizing pesantren networks as shadow diplomatic infrastructure suggests several practical implications. First, both governments might benefit from more systematically understanding and, where appropriate, engaging these networks. Rather than viewing them as peripheral to formal diplomacy, recognizing their diplomatic functions could help both countries leverage network channels during difficult periods.

Table 5: Government Consultations with Islamic Organizations on Malaysia Relations

Period	Number of Consultations
2011-2015	4
2016-2020	12
Increase	200%

Source: Kementerian Luar Negeri RI (2020)

Evidence suggests governments are beginning to recognize these networks' significance. Between 2016 and 2020, Indonesia's Ministry of Foreign Affairs conducted 12 consultations with major Islamic organizations specifically focused on bilateral relations with Malaysia, representing a 200 percent increase compared to the 2011-2015 period (Kementerian Luar Negeri RI, 2020). This suggests growing governmental awareness of religious networks' diplomatic relevance.

Second, the existence of resilient shadow diplomatic infrastructure argues for maintaining realistic expectations about bilateral tensions. If even serious disputes do not completely sever all engagement channels, damage from periodic tensions may be less severe than alarmist assessments suggest. The bilateral relationship possesses stabilizing mechanisms, including pesantren networks, that official focus on state-to-state tensions may overlook.

Conclusion

This paper has examined pesantren networks as shadow diplomatic infrastructure in Indonesia-Malaysia relations, demonstrating how traditional Islamic educational institutions facilitate sustained cross-border engagement parallel to formal state channels. The analysis reveals several key findings. First, pesantren networks constitute resilient diplomatic infrastructure rooted in pre-colonial Islamic traditions, with institutional depth and autonomy enabling them to transcend nationalist rhetoric that constrains official diplomacy. Evidence demonstrates network persistence across historical periods and political regimes, with contemporary educational exchanges, institutional partnerships, and digital communications maintaining continuous cross-border engagement.

Second, these networks function through multiple mechanisms including educational exchange, ulama genealogies, institutional collaboration, and digital communication, creating channels for bilateral engagement when formal diplomacy faces obstacles. Quantitative evidence shows substantial cross-border flows: approximately 11,500 Malaysian students enrolled in Indonesian Islamic institutions between 2015 and 2019, major Indonesian pesantren report 18 to 22 percent international student bodies from Malaysia, and Islamic organizations conduct regular bilateral programming independent of official diplomatic calendars.

Third, case studies demonstrate that during periods of bilateral strain over migrant workers, cultural heritage, or pandemic management, pesantren networks have provided alternative forums for dialogue and moderating conflict. While effectiveness varies by issue and context, their consistent operation provides a stabilizing influence on bilateral relationships. Fourth, theoretically, the shadow diplomatic infrastructure concept challenges state-centric International Relations frameworks and highlights how colonial impositions of sovereignty created layered diplomatic landscapes where pre-colonial networks continue shaping contemporary international relations.

The findings carry implications for both scholarship and practice. For scholars of Southeast Asian international relations, the analysis demonstrates the necessity of examining non-state actors and informal networks to fully understand bilateral dynamics. For International Relations theory broadly, it suggests that effective diplomacy in postcolonial contexts may rest on traditional institutions and religious authority as much as modern civil society or professional diplomacy.

For policymakers, recognizing shadow diplomatic infrastructure may enable more sophisticated approaches to managing bilateral relations that leverage multiple engagement channels. As Indonesia and Malaysia navigate increasingly complex regional and global environments, including great power competition, economic integration through ASEAN, and evolving security challenges, the shadow diplomatic infrastructure provided by pesantren networks may prove increasingly valuable. The persistence of pesantren networks suggests that Southeast Asian international relations cannot be fully understood through frameworks developed for Western contexts. The region's particular history of Islamic civilization predating European colonization, colonial imposition of state boundaries, and postcolonial navigation of modernity while maintaining traditional institutions has created distinctive patterns of international engagement. Pesantren networks exemplify these patterns, revealing how pre-colonial institutions adapt to modern state systems rather than simply being displaced by them.

Ultimately, this research argues for more nuanced, layered understandings of diplomacy that recognize multiple actors, sources of authority, and engagement channels operating simultaneously. The state remains central to international relations, but it is not alone. Traditional religious institutions, when they possess the historical depth, institutional autonomy, and transnational reach of pesantren networks, constitute parallel infrastructure for international engagement that complements and occasionally substitutes for official diplomacy.

References

Ahmad, S., & Hassan, R. (2018). Alumni networks and Islamic education: Cross-border influences in Indonesia-Malaysia relations. Journal of Southeast Asian Studies, 49(3), 445-468.

ASEAN Institute for Strategic Studies. (2018). Track II diplomacy in Southeast Asia: Comparative analysis of mechanisms and effectiveness. Jakarta: ASEAN Secretariat.

ASEAN Studies Center. (2019). Non-state diplomatic actors in ASEAN: Survey findings and analysis. Singapore: ASEAN Studies Center.

Avant, D. D., Finnemore, M., & Sell, S. K. (Eds.). (2010). Who governs the globe? Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Azra, A. (2004). The origins of Islamic reformism in Southeast Asia: Networks of Malay-Indonesian and Middle Eastern 'ulama' in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries. Crows Nest: Allen & Unwin.

Azra, A. (2006). Indonesia, Islam, and democracy: Dynamics in a global context. Jakarta: Solstice Publishing.

Bhabha, H. K. (1994). The location of culture. London: Routledge.

Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. Richardson (Ed.), Handbook of theory and research for the sociology of education (pp. 241-258). New York: Greenwood Press.

Department of Statistics Malaysia. (2020). Foreign workers statistics 2019. Putrajaya: Department of Statistics Malaysia.

Dhofier, Z. (2011). The pesantren tradition: The role of the kyai in the maintenance of traditional Islam in Java. Tempe: Arizona State University, Program for Southeast Asian Studies.

Diamond, L., & McDonald, J. W. (1996). Multi-track diplomacy: A systems approach to peace (3rd ed.). West Hartford: Kumarian Press.

Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Islam. (2020). Statistik pendidikan Islam tahun 2019. Jakarta: Kementerian Agama Republik Indonesia.

Fealy, G. (2001). Traditionalist Islam and modernity in Indonesia. In V. Hooker & A. Saikal (Eds.), Islamic perspectives on the new millennium (pp. 129-147). Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.

Fealy, G., & Hooker, V. (Eds.). (2006). Voices of Islam in Southeast Asia: A contemporary sourcebook. Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.

Geertz, C. (1960). The religion of Java. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Hefner, R. W. (2009). Islamic schools, social movements, and democracy in Indonesia. In R. W. Hefner (Ed.), Making modern Muslims: The politics of Islamic education in Southeast Asia (pp. 55-105). Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press.

Jackson, P. T., & Nexon, D. H. (1999). Relations before states: Substance, process and the study of world politics. European Journal of International Relations, 5(3), 291-332.

Kementerian Agama Republik Indonesia. (2019). Sumber pendanaan pesantren di Indonesia: Laporan survei 2019. Jakarta: Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Islam.

Kementerian Agama Republik Indonesia. (2020). Data pesantren nasional 2020. Jakarta: Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Islam.

Kementerian Agama Republik Indonesia. (2021). Laporan program kerjasama internasional masa pandemi COVID-19. Jakarta: Sekretariat Jenderal Kementerian Agama.

Kementerian Luar Negeri Republik Indonesia. (2020). Konsultasi dengan organisasi masyarakat sipil: Laporan 2016-2020. Jakarta: Direktorat Diplomasi Publik.

Laffan, M. F. (2003). Islamic nationhood and colonial Indonesia: The umma below the winds. London: Routledge Curzon.

Laffan, M. F. (2011). The makings of Indonesian Islam: Orientalism and the narration of a Sufi past. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Lembaga Ilmu Pengetahuan Indonesia [LIPI]. (2010). Analisis wacana publik dalam sengketa warisan budaya Indonesia-Malaysia 2009. Jakarta: Pusat Penelitian Politik LIPI.

Liow, J. C. (2005). The politics of Indonesia-Malaysia relations: One kin, two nations. London: RoutledgeCurzon.

Lukens-Bull, R. A. (2005). A peaceful jihad: Negotiating identity and modernity in Muslim Java. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Majelis Ulama Indonesia [MUI]. (2020). Koordinasi fatwa penanganan pandemi COVID-19 dengan institusi Islam Malaysia. Jakarta: MUI Pusat.

Milner, A. C. (2008). The Malays. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell.

Noor, F. A. (2007). Mediating the mediated: The role of Islamic education in democratising the Muslim world. In N. Lahoud & A. H. Johns (Eds.), Islam in world politics (pp. 163-185). London: Routledge.

Pengurus Besar Nahdlatul Ulama [PBNU] Lajnah Ta'awun. (2019). Laporan kegiatan diplomasi Islam dan hubungan internasional 2018. Jakarta: PBNU.

Pengurus Besar Nahdlatul Ulama [PBNU] Lajnah Ta'awun. (2020). Program kerjasama bilateral dengan organisasi Islam Malaysia 2015-2020. Jakarta: PBNU.

Pew Research Center. (2019). Religion's relationship to happiness, civic engagement and health around the world. Washington, DC: Pew Research Center.

Pondok Modern Gontor. (2021). Laporan tahunan dan statistik santri 2020. Ponorogo: Pondok Modern Darussalam Gontor.

Pusat Pengkajian Islam dan Masyarakat [PPIM] UIN Jakarta. (2019). Kemitraan pesantren Indonesia dengan institusi pendidikan Islam Malaysia: Survey 2019. Jakarta: PPIM UIN Syarif Hidayatullah.

Pusat Pengkajian Islam dan Masyarakat [PPIM] UIN Jakarta. (2020). Pesantren dan media digital: Pola penggunaan dan jangkauan internasional. Jakarta: PPIM UIN Syarif Hidayatullah.

Reid, A. (1993). Southeast Asia in the age of commerce, 1450-1680: Volume two: Expansion and crisis. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Ricklefs, M. C. (2007). Polarising Javanese society: Islamic and other visions, c. 1830-1930. Singapore: NUS Press.

Ricklefs, M. C. (2012). Islamisation and its opponents in Java: A political, social, cultural and religious history, c. 1930 to the present. Singapore: NUS Press.

Risse-Kappen, T. (Ed.). (1995). Bringing transnational relations back in: Non-state actors, domestic structures and international institutions. Cambridge: Cambridge

University Press.

Roy, O. (2004). Globalized Islam: The search for a new ummah. New York: Columbia University Press.

Sending, O. J. (2015). The politics of expertise: Competing for authority in global governance. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

Slaughter, A.-M. (2004). A new world order. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Tan, C. (2011). Islamic education and indoctrination: The case in Indonesia. New York: Routledge.

van Bruinessen, M. (1994). Pesantren and kitab kuning: Maintenance and continuation of a tradition of religious learning. In W. Marschall (Ed.), Texts from the islands: Oral and written traditions of Indonesia and the Malay world (pp. 121-145). Berne: University of Berne.

van Bruinessen, M. (1995). Tarekat and tarekat teachers in Madurese society. In K. van Dijk, H. de Jonge, & E. Touwen-Bouwsma (Eds.), Across Madura Strait: The dynamics of an insular society (pp. 91-117). Leiden: KITLV Press.

Wahid Foundation. (2017). Pengaruh ulama di media sosial: Studi jangkauan lintas negara. Jakarta: Wahid Foundation.

Wendt, A. (1999). Social theory of international politics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.