MAZAHIBUNA: Jurnal Perbandingan Mazhab
Volume 8 Number 1 April 2026; 39-56
P-ISSN: 2685-6905; E-ISSN: 2685-7812
DOI: 10.24252/mazahibuna.vi.64188
http://journal.uin-alauddin.ac.id/index.php/mjpm

Family Law, Maqāṣid al-Sharīʿah, and State Resilience: A Comparative Study of Legislative Reform in Muslim Jurisdictions

Omar Aghbalou

Researcher in Sharia Sciences, The Ministry of Endowments and Islamic Affairs, Morocco. E-mail: aghbalou.omar12@hotmail.com

*Corresponding Author

[Received: October 28, 2025] [Reviewed: December 31, 2025] [Revised: February 25, 2026] [Accepted: March 17, 2026] [Published: April 01, 2026]

How to Cite:
Aghbalou, O. (2026). Family Law, Maqāṣid al-Sharīʿah, and State Resilience: A Comparative Study of Legislative Reform in Muslim Jurisdictions. *Mazahibuna: Jurnal Perbandingan Mazhab* 8 (1): 39–56. https://doi.org/10.24252/mazahibuna.vi.64188

Abstract

The instability of family institutions in contemporary Muslim societies is often reductively framed as a matter of individual morality. However, domestic stability constitutes a fundamental juridical determinant for the realization of the comprehensive concept of security (*al-amn*) and macro-level state resilience. Despite this, much of the existing academic literature remains predominantly focused on philosophical and normative approaches to the protection of the five essential necessities (*al-darūriyyāt al-khams*), without sufficiently explaining how these principles are operationalized within concrete family law frameworks and legislative policies across diverse Muslim jurisdictions. This study addresses this gap by adopting a juridic-comparative approach, analyzing the paradigmatic shift from classical jurisprudence to modern legal codification in Morocco and other Muslim countries, particularly in relation to the protection of life (nafs) and progeny (*nasl*) within the domestic sphere. The findings demonstrate that family security is not merely a moral construct but a legal-institutional one that requires strengthened statutory protections, enhanced accountability mechanisms for legal guardianship, and the transformation of the ethical value of trust (*amānah*) into binding legal obligations to effectively safeguard marital rights and ensure child protection. By incorporating this comparative dimension, the study proposes an original theoretical framework for the renewal of Islamic legislation at the global level, integrating the protection of domestic rights with broader agendas of social reform and state resilience in the context of globalization.

Keywords: Islamic Family Law; Maqāṣid al-Sharīʿah; Legal Reform; Family Stability; Muslim Jurisdictions.

Abstract

Ketidakstabilan institusi keluarga dalam masyarakat Muslim kontemporer seringkali direduksi sebagai persoalan moralitas individual; padahal stabilitas domestik merupakan determinan yuridis yang fundamental bagi terwujudnya konsep keamanan komprehensif (al-amn) dan ketahanan negara pada tingkat makro. Meskipun demikian,

Copyrights © 2026 The Author. Published by *Mazahibuna: Jurnal Perbandingan Mazhab*. This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original authors and source are properly credited.

sebagian besar literatur akademik yang ada masih didominasi oleh pendekatan filosofis dan normatif dalam membahas perlindungan lima kebutuhan pokok (al-darūriyyāt al-khams), tanpa memberikan penjelasan yang memadai mengenai bagaimana prinsip-prinsip tersebut dioperasionalkan dalam kerangka hukum keluarga yang konkret serta kebijakan legislasi di berbagai yurisdiksi Muslim. Penelitian ini berupaya mengisi kesenjangan tersebut dengan menggunakan pendekatan yuridis-komparatif, melalui analisis terhadap pergeseran paradigmatik dari fikih klasik menuju kodifikasi hukum modern di Maroko dan negara-negara Muslim lainnya, khususnya dalam kaitannya dengan perlindungan jiwa (nafs) dan keturunan (nasl) dalam ranah domestik. Temuan penelitian menunjukkan bahwa keamanan keluarga bukan semata konstruksi moral, melainkan konstruksi legal-institusional yang menuntut penguatan perlindungan normatif, peningkatan mekanisme akuntabilitas perwalian hukum, serta transformasi nilai etis amanah (amānah) menjadi kewajiban hukum yang mengikat guna melindungi hak-hak perkawinan dan menjamin perlindungan anak. Dengan mengintegrasikan dimensi komparatif tersebut, penelitian ini menawarkan kerangka teoretis orisinal bagi pembaruan hukum Islam pada tingkat global yang mensinergikan perlindungan hak-hak domestik dengan agenda reformasi sosial yang lebih luas serta penguatan ketahanan negara di tengah tantangan globalisasi.
Keywords: **Hukum Keluarga Islam; Maqāṣid al-Sharīʿah; Reformasi Hukum; Stabilitas Keluarga; Yurisdiksi Muslim.**

Introduction

In the discourse of contemporary Islamic family law, the family is no longer perceived merely as a fundamental social unit, but rather as a strategic legal entity that determines the stability of public order (*nizam al-amm*) (Roman et al., 2025). The classical legal paradigm, which predominantly focuses on the contractual aspects of marriage, now faces global challenges necessitating an in-depth exploration of family security as a normative legal requirement (Tsoutsi & Dikeos, 2024). Family security is not simply a private issue; it is a pillar of legal sovereignty protecting individual human rights under the umbrella of Sharia (Zalewska et al., 2025). However, the dominance of legalistic-formalistic approaches in much jurisprudence often overlooks broader security dimensions when addressing the social dynamics of the 21st century (Spitz & Steinhausen, 2023). Rapid global transformations have blurred the boundaries of legal responsibility within domesticity, triggering an urgent need to redefine the parameters of legal protection for every family member (Haberkern & Szydlik, 2025). Therefore, a conceptual reconstruction of family security is essential to bridge the gap between legal texts and the complexities of current social realities. This demands a robust integration of universal Sharia principles with contemporary legal needs that continue to evolve dynamically.

Empirical reality indicates that family institutions in various Muslim countries are currently facing a multidimensional crisis that massively threatens their legal and social integrity (Awaliyah, 2022). The phenomena of increasing divorce rates, domestic violence, and the neglect of custodial rights reflect the fragility of existing family protection systems within current legal codifications (Ouchelh, 2024). In Indonesia, the Compilation of Islamic Law is often considered insufficiently responsive to complex psychological and economic

security issues, mirroring the challenges faced by the *Mudawwanah* in Morocco or the Law of Personal Status in Egypt (Mukhtar, 2018). The pressures of global materialism and individualism have weakened the ethical-spiritual foundations that should be maintained through robust and applicable legal mechanisms (Awaliyah, 2022). Existing legal approaches tend to be reactive and fragmentary, failing to provide preventive solutions to the increasingly evident risk of family disintegration (Boothby, 2025; Dodds, 2025; Mansour-Ille, 2021). The inability of legal instruments to mitigate these new social risks renders the family a highly vulnerable space for legal insecurity. A legal framework is required that can integrate global security standards with transcendental religious and ethical values.

Several previous studies have sought to explore family resilience through the lenses of sociology of law and conventional religious studies with varying findings. Research by Al-Fasi (2018) emphasizes the importance of morality in domestic stability, while the study by Mansour (2021) focuses on the effectiveness of family legislation in the Middle East in reducing violence. However, the majority of this literature remains trapped in a dichotomy between religious norms and empirical reality without offering comparatively measurable security indicators. Some studies in Southeast Asia attempt to integrate local wisdom into family law but often overlook the more systematic and universal Maqasid framework (Arief et al., 2025; Fadhilah et al., 2025; Mohadi, 2023; Putra Halomoan Hsb et al., 2025). The literature gap is evident in the lack of analysis comparing how various Muslim jurisdictions effectively apply security principles in their national legal policies. Furthermore, the balance between individual rights and collective obligations within the family is rarely discussed within a comprehensive legal moderation perspective. Therefore, a synthesis of existing literature indicates the need for a new, more profound, and analytical-comparative study.

This study aims to examine the concept of family security comprehensively from an Islamic perspective. Specifically, it seeks to clarify the notion of family within Islamic legal thought, formulate a coherent concept of family security, and identify key indicators that ensure balance and moderation within family life. Furthermore, this research aims to explore the underlying *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah* that inform and justify the notion of family security, as well as to analyze the social impacts arising from the implementation of these indicators. Equally important, this study endeavors to propose Islamic-based solutions to contemporary family problems. By employing inductive and analytical methods, the research seeks to bridge normative Islamic principles with empirical social realities, thereby presenting family security not merely as an ideal concept but as a practical and applicable framework for addressing modern familial challenges.

This study is both timely and significant, as family security constitutes the foundational pillar of societal and national security. From an Islamic perspective, the family is not merely a private institution but a strategic social entity essential for maintaining moral order and social stability. Secure and stable families foster individuals with balanced spiritual, emotional, and social capacities, which positively influence the wider community. Conversely, fragile family security generates complex and persistent social problems. Therefore, this research contributes not only to academic discourse in Islamic law and social studies but also offers practical insights for families, educators, and policymakers. By situating family security within the framework of *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah*, this study advances a holistic and sustainable approach capable of addressing the evolving challenges faced by Muslim families in the context of modern social transformation.

Methods

This research employs a qualitative approach through a socio-legal comparative methodology, synthesizing Islamic legal doctrinal analysis with the sociopolitical dynamics that impinge upon family institutions. The selection of this methodology is predicated on the exigency to transcend static normative analysis toward a profound understanding of how legal norms interact with heterogeneous social realities. Utilizing functional comparative methods, this study dissects the variances in the implementation of family security norms between classical Islamic legal frameworks and positive law codifications across diverse contemporary jurisdictions. This approach enables the identification of social variables that serve as primary determinants in the reinterpretation of religious texts within the public sphere. The application of tertium comparationis in this research ensures that the legal elements under comparison possess equivalent ontological and axiological bases for objective analysis. Consequently, this method not only maps textual differences across regions but also evaluates the efficacy of legal responses to moral challenges and the stability of modernity. This synergy between legal and sociological perspectives provides a robust methodological foundation for bridging the gap between religious normative ideals and social practicality on a global scale.

The data collection process was conducted through a systematic literature review of primary and secondary sources representing the diversity of Islamic legal thought and contemporary sociology of law. Primary sources comprise authoritative texts such as the Qur'an and Hadith, alongside classical uṣūl al-fiqh literature that serves as the normative foundation for concepts of social responsibility and family security. Secondary data were extracted from state legal policies, court rulings, and empirical studies concerning family resilience in compared geographic regions to provide context for the investigated norms. The justification for the selection of comparative subjects is based on divergent cultural

backgrounds and political systems that offer a broad spectrum in the application of the principle of balance or wasatiyyah. Rigorous data triangulation was performed to ensure a balanced perspective among the various legal entities analyzed, thereby precluding interpretative bias in the comparative study. The researcher applied textual criticism and contextual analysis techniques to dissect how universal norms are adapted into particular laws responsive to local exigencies. All procedures were executed with high adherence to academic ethics, ensuring that every conceptual attribution is accurately rendered through precise citation and objective interpretation.

The operationalization of the Maqāṣid al-Sharīʻah framework in this research functions as the primary analytical instrument for measuring legal effectiveness in manifesting social welfare or maṣlaḥah. This framework is no longer positioned merely as an abstract theoretical concept but as an analytical lens to evaluate the extent to which the protection of religion, life, intellect, progeny, and property is implemented within differing family law structures. Analysis was conducted through an inductive-thematic approach that systematically synthesizes legal data and social findings into conceptual patterns regarding comprehensive family security indicators. Through such functional comparison, Maqāṣid al-Sharīʻah serves as an empirical parameter to assess the success of a legal policy in mitigating social risks under the pressures of global dynamics. The researcher maps how each Islamic jurisdiction responds to crucial issues such as role equality and the protection of individual rights through the prism of the higher objectives of Islamic law. This synthesis process aims to generate a model of family resilience that is not only socially adaptive but also possesses robust theological legitimacy. The final results of this analysis contribute significantly to transnational Islamic legal discourse by offering maslahah-based legal solutions that have been validated through cross-border comparison.

Result and Discussion

Family Security as a Socio-Legal Institution in Muslim Societies

Family security in contemporary Muslim societies can no longer be understood merely as a static theological doctrine; rather, it must be deconstructed as a manifestation of the dialectic between national legal codifications and complex local cultural practices (Al-Bayyānī, 1994). Analyses across various jurisdictions demonstrate that the efficacy of legal protection is heavily contingent upon the extent to which formal texts can accommodate the diverse social realities prevalent in Southeast Asia and the Middle East (Curley et al., 2018; Smith, 2024). From a comparative legal perspective, the variation between progressive and conservative codifications creates a spectrum of legal effectiveness in mitigating domestic risks and gender disparities (Moradipoor, 2025). A

socio-legal approach reveals that family security emerges as the result of continuous negotiation among legal norms, social role expectations, and strategic state policies (Urinboyev & Ibragimov, 2025). Consequently, this study positions family resilience as a dynamic entity transcending the boundaries of the private sphere toward a broader discourse of public interest. Through this conceptualization, Islamic family law functions as a regulative instrument that not only governs rights and obligations but also produces a systemically stable social order. This paradigmatic shift necessitates a critical evaluation of the synchronization between ideal legal aspirations and field implementation across various Muslim nations with distinct socio-political backgrounds.

The comparison between the Moudawana reform in Morocco and the Compilation of Islamic Law (KHI) in Indonesia provides a concrete illustration of how differing politico-legal structures influence the degree of protection for vulnerable family members. In Morocco, the empowerment of women's rights through the explicit abolition of the concept of marital obedience aims to enhance psychological security and economic autonomy within the household (Booley, 2024; Sonneveld, 2019). Conversely, in Indonesia, although mediation mechanisms in Religious Courts are prioritized, structural challenges in the execution of post-divorce maintenance (nafkah) orders remain a primary obstacle to women's economic resilience (Asni & Iqbal, 2025; Fadhilah et al., 2025; Yangto et al., 2025; Yustri et al., 2025). Statistical data indicate that in Pakistan, the existence of women's protection laws often clashes with entrenched customary norms, thereby creating a gap between formal legal texts and real security (Zulfiqar, 2022). This inconsistency proves that the effectiveness of family law is not universal but is deeply tethered to the prevailing local power configurations and legal cultures. Thus, legal reforms must consider more inclusive enforcement mechanisms to avoid becoming mere symbolic documents devoid of empirical binding force. The emphasis on this comparative aspect underscores the necessity of legal adaptation to local contexts that are continuously transforming alongside globalization.

1. Family Security and the Socio-Legal Construction of Normativity

From a *law and society* perspective, security (*al-amn*) is not merely the absence of fear as articulated in legal texts, but a socially produced condition that emerges through the interaction of law, culture, and institutional practice (Farquhar, 2025). Family security, therefore, should be understood as an outcome of how Islamic legal norms governing marriage, kinship, and domestic responsibility are interpreted, internalized, and implemented within everyday social relations.

In Islamic law, the family (*al-usrah*) functions simultaneously as a legal institution and a social space (Abū Zahrah, n.d.). Normatively, it is constituted through lawful marriage and regulated by enforceable rights and obligations, including maintenance,

protection, and mutual responsibility (Al-Aṣfahānī, n.d.). Socially, however, the family is embedded in power relations shaped by gender roles, economic conditions, and cultural expectations. As a result, the effectiveness of legal norms in ensuring family security depends not solely on their textual authority, but on their capacity to adapt to social transformations and resolve practical conflicts.

Within this framework, family security may be defined as a condition of relative stability that enables family members to perform their social roles without exposure to structural violence, systemic inequality, or legal marginalization. It encompasses physical safety, psychological well-being, economic security, and moral protection—dimensions that are mediated by legal norms, social practices, and state policies. Consequently, family security operates as a bridge between Islamic legal doctrine and the lived realities of Muslim households.

2. Family Security, Legal Effectiveness, and the Production of Social Order

Socio-legal scholarship emphasizes that the family is a critical site for the production and reproduction of social order (Kretschmann, 2025; Mant, 2020). Islamic family law, when functioning effectively, serves as a form of preventive regulation by establishing norms of responsibility, fairness, and care that mitigate social disintegration (al-Maghribiyyah, 2016). Legal provisions concerning spousal duties, child protection, and conflict resolution are designed to reduce vulnerability and enhance resilience within the family unit (Al-Jurjānī, 2005).

However, empirical realities indicate that family insecurity often correlates with broader social problems, such as domestic violence, economic precarity, gender-based marginalization, and intergenerational conflict (al-ʻArabī, 2003). These conditions expose a potential legal gap between normative prescriptions and social outcomes (Jumʻah, 2021). From a socio-legal standpoint, this gap raises critical questions regarding the responsiveness of Islamic family law to contemporary challenges, including shifting gender relations, labor market pressures, and the digitalization of social life (al-ʻArabiyyah, 2004).

The objectives of Islamic law (*maqāṣid al-sharīʻah*)—particularly the protection of life, intellect, lineage, and property—can be interpreted as an evaluative framework for assessing legal effectiveness rather than merely doctrinal coherence. When family law successfully promotes security and well-being, it contributes to public welfare (*maṣlaḥah*) and social stability (Ibn ʻĀshūr, 1984). Conversely, when legal norms fail to account for changing social conditions, they risk losing regulatory capacity and social legitimacy (ʻAṭiyyah, 2001).

Accordingly, family security should be understood not as a private concern confined to domestic space, but as a matter of public interest with direct implications for

governance and social cohesion (al-Athīr, 1979). A socio-legal analysis of family security thus underscores the need for a contextual and adaptive interpretation of Islamic family law—one that maintains normative integrity while remaining responsive to evolving social realities in Muslim societies.

The integration of Maqasid al-Shariah as an evaluative framework allows for a more objective assessment of the extent to which legal reforms protect marginal groups within household structures (Busriyanti et al., 2025). The protection of life (hifz al-nafs) and progeny (hifz al-nasl) in modern family law is manifested through state intervention mechanisms in cases of domestic violence and child neglect (Nurjanah et al., 2025). However, field data from various Religious Courts reveal a significant gap between normative protection and empirical reality, often triggered by economic inequality. For instance, the rising divorce rates in the digital era are frequently rooted in conflicts originating from interactions in cyberspace, to which conventional legal instruments have not yet become fully responsive. Maqasid analysis provides a robust justification for more contextual legal updates to address these emerging threats in order to safeguard public welfare (maslahah). When the law fails to provide protection against structural risks, the fundamental objective of Shariah to realize social justice within the domestic sphere loses its sociological legitimacy. Therefore, Maqasid al-Shariah must be positioned as a dynamic parameter to measure the responsiveness of legal policies toward social vulnerabilities amidst the pressures of modernity.

The phenomenon of the digitalization of social life has fundamentally altered the map of family conflict and demands a more agile, human-rights-based legal response (Treuthart, 2019). The emergence of new forms of online gender-based violence and the influence of social media on the emotional stability of couples present new challenges for Islamic family law authorities worldwide. In countries such as Malaysia, religious courts have begun adopting digital evidence in proceedings, indicating a relevant adaptation to contemporary technological realities. Dialogue with contemporary Islamic feminist thought also provides critical perspectives on the need for a more egalitarian reinterpretation of domestic roles to support sustainable family security. Recent literature emphasizes that family resilience today is heavily influenced by access to digital literacy and the protection of family members' privacy from external intervention. Without regulations responsive to these changing communication patterns, family law risks losing its relevance and social binding force for the new generation of Muslims. Future Islamic family law reforms must integrate this technological dimension as an integral part of efforts to strengthen family security holistically.

Family security in Muslim societies must be managed as a strategic instrument to produce broader social order and national stability. This socio-legal analysis affirms that

domestic resilience is the foundation for social cohesion, necessitating synergy between religious norms, public policy, and the protection of individual rights. The success of family law in fulfilling its preventive role depends heavily on the capacity of legal institutions to bridge the gap between doctrinal idealism and empirical complexity. Efforts to mitigate the legal marginalization of vulnerable groups must be a top priority in every family law reform initiative across various jurisdictions. By strengthening normative integrity while remaining adaptive to social transformations, Islamic family law can continue to function as a guardian of moral and societal welfare globally. This positions family security no longer as a purely private matter, but as a crucial parameter in just, inclusive, and dignified governance. This transformation will ultimately ensure that the family institution remains a resilient pillar in facing the uncertainties and challenges of the future

Socio-Legal Dynamics of Family Security: A Comparative Analysis of Institutional Regulations and Juridical Practices in the Contemporary Muslim World

From a socio-legal standpoint, family security in Islamic law should be understood not merely as a moral aspiration, but as a regulatory framework designed to structure social relations, manage conflict, and sustain public order (Wildan & Syamarina, 2024). Islamic family law operates through a set of institutional mechanisms that regulate marriage, kinship, and domestic responsibilities, thereby producing predictable patterns of behavior and social stability (Zhao, 2025). These mechanisms reflect an attempt to align normative ideals with social realities, ensuring that the family functions as a resilient social institution.

Islamic legal texts address family security by establishing preventive norms that minimize social risk and protect vulnerable family members. Rather than relying solely on punitive measures, Islamic family law prioritizes regulatory design—setting boundaries, defining rights and obligations, and promoting reconciliation. This approach positions family security as an outcome of legal effectiveness and social compliance, rather than mere doctrinal correctness.

1. Legal Regulation of Marriage as a Foundation of Family Security

Marriage in Islamic law constitutes a central legal institution for organizing intimate relationships and safeguarding social order (Al-Sharmani, 2018). From a socio-legal perspective, the emphasis on lawful marriage reflects a regulatory response to the management of sexuality, lineage, and social responsibility (Adewumi, 2021; Saepullah et al., 2025). By restricting legitimate sexual relations to marriage, Islamic law seeks to prevent legal ambiguity, social conflict, and the marginalization of women and children.

The insistence on consent, compatibility, and the freedom to choose a spouse functions as a legal safeguard against coercion and structural inequality within the family

(Abū Dāwūd, 2009). These requirements are not merely ethical prescriptions but institutional mechanisms aimed at enhancing marital stability and reducing the likelihood of domestic conflict (Al-Bayyānī, 1994). Similarly, the encouragement to simplify marital procedures reflects a policy-oriented approach that seeks to remove economic and social barriers that could otherwise undermine family formation.

2. Boundary-Making Norms and the Prevention of Social Conflict

Several legal prohibitions in Islamic family law function as boundary-making tools to prevent interpersonal and inter-familial conflict (Al-Marāghī, 1946). The prohibition against proposing marriage to a woman already engaged, for instance, operates as a social regulation that protects trust, reduces rivalry, and maintains communal harmony (Al-Mubārakfūrī, n.d.). Likewise, restrictions on marriage with close kin and those outside the Islamic faith serve to preserve moral boundaries and social coherence within the family structure.

From a law and society perspective, these prohibitions can be understood as forms of preventive legal intervention (Al-Nāṣirī, 1985). Rather than addressing harm after it occurs, Islamic law attempts to reduce the likelihood of conflict by regulating social interactions in advance (Al-Nawawī, 1972). Such regulatory strategies contribute to family security by minimizing legal uncertainty and reinforcing social norms that support stability.

3. Rights, Obligations, and Power Relations within the Family

Family security is closely linked to how rights and obligations are distributed and enforced within the household. Islamic family law articulates a structured system of mutual duties between spouses, parents, and children (al-Wahhāb, 1999) . In socio-legal terms, this system functions as a governance model for domestic relations, balancing authority with responsibility.

The effectiveness of these norms, however, depends on their social implementation. When rights and obligations are interpreted rigidly or selectively, they may reinforce power imbalances rather than promote security (Al-Qaysī, 2008). Consequently, a socio-legal analysis highlights the importance of contextual interpretation and institutional oversight in ensuring that family law norms contribute to protection rather than domination.

4. Evidentiary Standards and the Protection of Family Reputation

Islamic law's stringent evidentiary requirements in cases involving accusations of adultery reflect a strong commitment to protecting family reputation and social trust (Al-Qurṭubī, 1964). From a socio-legal perspective, these standards function as safeguards against moral panic, rumor-based accusations, and symbolic violence within the community (Al-Rāzī, 2005). By limiting legal intervention to cases supported by strong evidence, Islamic law prioritizes social stability and the preservation of dignity.

5. Conflict Resolution and Reconciliation as Regulatory Strategies

Rather than normalizing family dissolution (Al-Rifāʻī & al-Shūḥah, 2020), Islamic family law emphasizes reconciliation (*iṣlāḥ*) as a primary mechanism for resolving domestic disputes (Al-Sarḥānī, 2011). This reflects a socio-legal preference for restorative approaches over adversarial ones (Al-Shaʻrāwī, n.d.). Reconciliation mechanisms aim to preserve family units where possible, recognizing their broader social function in maintaining communal stability.

6. Inheritance Law and the Continuity of Family Structure

The Islamic inheritance system operates as a legal instrument for ensuring economic justice and preventing intra-family disputes (Al-Ṭabarī, 2000). By establishing predetermined shares, Islamic law limits discretionary power and reduces the potential for post-mortem conflict (Al-Tirmidhī, 1975). From a socio-legal viewpoint, inheritance rules contribute to family security by ensuring continuity, predictability, and social legitimacy.

7. Moral Cooperation and Collective Responsibility

Finally, Islamic law frames family relations within a broader ethic of mutual support and moral responsibility (Ḥāmidī, 2008). This principle reinforces compliance with legal norms by embedding them within shared social values. In socio-legal terms, moral cooperation strengthens the internalization of legal norms, thereby enhancing their effectiveness and sustainability.

Islamic family law consistently emphasizes the importance of reconciliation (ishlah) as the primary mechanism for resolving domestic disputes to prevent family disintegration (Novita et al., 2025). This reflects a socio-legal preference for restorative justice approaches over adversarial methods that tend to exacerbate animosity among family members. Reconciliation mechanisms aim to maintain the integrity of the family unit as far as possible, given its broader social function in preserving community stability. In various countries such as Malaysia, the role of arbitration bodies or Hakam within the religious court process demonstrates how the state facilitates institutionalized mediation. However, critical analysis also warns that an excessive emphasis on reconciliation without considering the safety of victims of violence can endanger the most vulnerable family members. Research by Quraishi (2011) highlights the importance of integrating ishlah values with modern human rights protection standards to prevent exploitation within the peace-making process. The implication is that this regulatory strategy must be capable of distinguishing between reconcilable disputes and situations requiring decisive legal protection for the sake of individual security within the family.

The Islamic inheritance system operates as a crucial legal instrument for ensuring economic justice and preventing prolonged disputes among heirs within the family (Munir, 2023). By establishing fixed shares (fara'id), the law limits discretionary power, which is

often a source of conflict following the death of a family member. From a socio-legal perspective, these inheritance rules contribute to family security through the guarantee of economic continuity and legal certainty for surviving heirs. In some regions, such as Southeast Asia, the practice of wasiyah wajibah (mandatory bequests) has been adopted as a legal solution to protect the economic rights of adopted children or grandchildren who are otherwise excluded (mahjub), demonstrating the flexibility of Islamic law in responding to social needs. A study by Sait and Lim (2014) shows that the equitable distribution of wealth through inheritance law is a key determinant of long-term family economic resilience. The tension between distributions based on classical texts and the demand for substantive justice for women remains a dynamic space for intellectual debate in the Muslim world today. The success of the inheritance system in creating economic security depends heavily on the law's ability to guarantee the rights of all heirs without detrimental discrimination.

Ultimately, Islamic law frames family relationships within an ethics of mutual support and collective responsibility that reinforces compliance with legal norms through the internalization of social values. This principle of moral cooperation (ta'awun) bolsters legal effectiveness by instilling a consciousness that family stability is a shared responsibility between individuals, the extended family, and the state. In socio-legal terms, moral cooperation strengthens the resilience of legal norms against the pressures of globalization and shifting values that lean toward individualism. Family security in the modern era can no longer rely solely on black-and-white regulations; it must involve institutional empowerment and the protection of fundamental human rights. The future challenge for Islamic family law lies in integrating principles of global justice with local wisdom without losing its religious identity. This analysis concludes that family security is the result of synergy between responsive state regulation, fair judicial practices, and deep-seated societal ethical awareness. Thus, the institutional pillars of family security will remain robust as long as they can adapt to the demands of justice and human dignity amidst changing times.

Conclusion

This study concludes that family resilience is a fundamental socio-legal construct that integrates individual well-being, social cohesion, and state stability within a comprehensive Islamic legal framework. In this context, security is no longer perceived passively as the absence of conflict but rather as a practical instrument accommodated through the family as a primary social institution. These findings affirm that genuine security can be actualized through the protection of fundamental human interests, systematically encapsulated within the principles of Maqashid al-Shari'ah. From a law and

society perspective, family resilience serves as a bridge connecting normative religious values with a dynamic and fluctuating social order. Family integrity directly influences the moral resilience of society and determines the structural strength of state institutions in addressing contemporary challenges. The degradation of family structures is proven to be a primary catalyst for broader social instability, while ethics-based families provide a crucial contribution to national legal harmony. Therefore, this study validates that strengthening family law is not merely a private matter but an absolute prerequisite for the sustainability of national stability and security throughout the Muslim world.

The fundamental contribution of this research lies in the formulation of an integrative socio-legal framework that reconstructs Islamic legal norms beyond the often rigid boundaries of traditional textuality. This approach offers a new paradigm in comparative family law studies, where institutional responsibilities and societal dynamics serve as the primary determinative variables in actualizing justice. Maqashid al-Shari'ah is positioned not merely as a philosophical supplement but as a critical analytical instrument to evaluate the efficacy of family law across diverse Muslim jurisdictions. Through this lens, family resilience is transformed into a global evaluative standard for public policy and international legal reform agendas. These findings challenge the conventional dichotomy between private and public law by demonstrating that domestic resilience is a vital geopolitical and sociological asset for the state. The policy implications of this study encourage the harmonization of sacred religious norms with the demands of modern governance, which must be more responsive. Thus, this research provides a theoretical foundation for formulating policies that are more inclusive and adaptive to various social vulnerabilities amidst complex global modernity.

Comprehensively, this study successfully addresses the problematics regarding the strategic position of the family by framing it as a living and functional social mechanism within the legal system. The primary success of this research is its ability to link Islamic legal norms with broader socio-political dynamics through a sharp and profound interdisciplinary approach. The study asserts that family resilience is a collective responsibility involving continuous synergy between individual roles, community strengths, and the authority of state institutions. Despite its strong conceptual orientation, this research establishes an epistemological basis for the development of family law theories that are more transnational and comparatively relevant. The conceptual limitations of this study serve as a strategic basis for future research to dissect how family resilience norms are negotiated differently across various Islamic legal jurisdictions. This new theoretical proposition challenges researchers to test the validity of the Maqashid framework in resolving increasingly complex family law disputes in religious courts worldwide. This final reflection points toward the urgent need for legal reform

models that are not only doctrinal but also capable of producing tangible solutions to global governance challenges and social vulnerabilities.

References

‘Aṭiyyah, I. (2001). *Al-muḥarrar al-wajīz fī tafsīr al-Qur’ān al-‘azīz*. Dār al-Kutub al-‘Ilmiyyah.

Abū Dāwūd, S. ibn al-A. al-S. (2009). *Sunan Abī Dāwūd* (S. al-Arna’ūṭ (ed.)). Dār al-Risālah al-‘Ālamiyyah.

Abū Zahrah, M. (n.d.). *Zahrat al-tafāsīr*. Dār al-Fikr al-‘Arabī.

Adewumi, S. A. (2021). Socio-legal implication of legalising same-sex marriage in cultural Yoruba Society, Osun State, Nigeria. *African Journal of Gender, Society & Development*, *10*(2), 113. https://hdl.handle.net/10520/ejc-aa_jgida1-v10-n2-a7

al-‘Arabī, I. (2003). *Aḥkām al-Qur’ān* (M. ‘Abd al-Qādir (ed.)). Dār al-Kutub al-‘Ilmiyyah.

al-‘Arabiyyah, M. al-L. (2004). *Al-mu‘jam al-wasīṭ*. Maktabat al-Shurūq al-Dawliyyah.

Al-Aṣfahānī, al-R. (n.d.). *Al-mufradāt fī gharīb al-Qur’ān* (H. Ṭu‘aymī (ed.)). Iḥyā’ al-Turāth al-‘Arabī.

al-Athīr, I. (1979). *Al-nihāyah fī gharīb al-ḥadīth* (Ṭāhir al-Zāwī & M. al-Ṭanāḥī (eds.)). al-Maktabah al-‘Ilmiyyah.

Al-Bayyānī, M. Ḥasan. (1994). *Al-nuẓum al-Islāmiyyah*. Dār al-Bashīr.

Al-Jurjānī, ‘Alī. (2005). *Al-ta‘rīfāt*. Dār al-Fikr.

al-Maghribiyyah, W. al-‘Adl. (2016). *Mudawwanat al-usrah*. Ministry of Justice.

Al-Marāghī, A. ibn M. (1946). *Tafsīr al-Marāghī*. Muṣṭafā al-Bābī al-Ḥalabī.

Al-Mubārakfūrī, ‘Abd al-Raḥmān. (n.d.). *Tuḥfat al-aḥwadhī bi sharḥ Jāmi‘ al-Tirmidhī*. Dār al-Kutub al-‘Ilmiyyah.

Al-Nāṣirī, al-M. (1985). *Al-taysīr fī aḥādīth al-tafsīr*. Dār al-Gharb al-Islāmī.

Al-Nawawī, Y. ibn S. (1972). *Al-minhāj sharḥ Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim ibn al-Ḥajjāj*. Iḥyā’ al-Turāth al-‘Arabī.

Al-Qaysī, M. ibn A. Ṭāhir. (2008). *Al-hidāyah ilā bulūgh al-nihāyah*. University of Sharjah.

Al-Qurṭubī, A. ‘Abd A. (1964). *Al-jāmi‘ li aḥkām al-Qur’ān* (A. al-Bardūnī & I. Aṭfīsh (eds.)). Dār al-Kutub al-Miṣriyyah.

Al-Rāzī, A. ibn M. (2005). *Mukhtār al-ṣaḥāḥ* (A. Zahwah (ed.)). Dār al-Kitāb al-‘Arabī.

Al-Rifā‘ī, ‘Abd al-Karīm, & al-Shūḥah, W. M. A. (2020). Family security from an Islamic educational perspective: Concepts, foundations, and challenges. *Sinād Journal of Educational and Family Studies*, *1*, 1–25.

Al-Sarḥānī, R. (2011). *Al-mushtarak al-insānī: Naẓariyyah jadīdah li al-taqārub bayna al-shu‘ūb*. Mawsū‘at Iqra.’

Al-Sha‘rāwī, M. M. (n.d.). *Durūs fī binā’ al-usrah al-muslimah*. Dār al-Qalam.

Al-Sharmani, M. (2018). Marriage in Islamic Interpretive Tradition: Revisiting the Legal and the Ethical. *Journal of Islamic Ethics*, *2*(1–2), 76–96. https://doi.org/10.1163/24685542-12340017

Al-Ṭabarī, M. ibn J. (2000). *Jāmi‘ al-bayān ‘an ta’wīl āy al-Qur’ān* (A. Shākir (ed.)). Mu’assasat al-Risālah.

Al-Tirmidhī, M. ibn ‘Īsā. (1975). *Sunan al-Tirmidhī* (A. Shākir (ed.)). Muṣṭafā al-Bābī al-Ḥalabī.

al-Wahhāb, A.-Q. ‘Abd. (1999). *Al-ishrāf ‘alā nukat masā’il al-khilāf* (al-Ḥ. ibn Ṭāhir (ed.)). Dār Ibn Ḥazm.

Arief, A., Sultan, L., Amin, A. R. M., Musyahid, A., & Syarif, M. F. (2025). Aligning Fiqh Disaster with Indonesia’s Management Disaster Policy: A Maqāṣid Methodology Review. *Al-Manahij: Jurnal Kajian Hukum Islam*, *19*(1), 101–116. https://doi.org/10.24090/mnh.v19i1.12872

Asni, A., & Iqbal, M. (2025). Women Judging Women: Gender Sensitivity in the Decisions of Divorce Cases of Indonesian Religious Courts. *AL-IHKAM: Jurnal Hukum & Pranata Sosial*, *20*(2), 588–615.

Awaliyah, N. A. (2022). The Dynamics of Islamic Family Law Reform in Morocco on Guardians Of Marriage. *Indonesian Journal of Law and Islamic Law (IJLIL)*, *4*(2), 368–409. https://doi.org/10.35719/ijlil.v4i2.245

Booley, A. (2024). Unveiling Islamic Perspectives on Women’s Rights in the Family: Duty, Honour, Equality and Human Dignity. *Manchester Journal of Transnational Islamic Law & Practice*, *20*, 62. https://www.electronicpublications.org/stuff/1081

Boothby, N. (2025). Legal frameworks and practical challenges: a review of the enduring failure to prevent family separations in armed conflicts. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *16*, 1629843. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1629843

Busriyanti, B., Pujiono, P., Mursalim, & Chamdan, U. (2025). Marriage law reform in Indonesia: A Maqasid al-Usrah perspective on legal adaptation. *Al-Istinbath: Jurnal Hukum Islam*, *10*(2), 631–649. https://doi.org/10.29240/jhi.v10i2.12739

Curley, M., Dressel, B., & McCarthy, S. (2018). Competing Visions of the Rule of Law in Southeast Asia: Power, Rhetoric and Governance. *Asian Studies Review*, *42*(2), 192–209. https://doi.org/10.1080/10357823.2018.1448753

Dodds, L. (2025). Fragmented Justice: The Role of Social Work in Addressing Risks to Children Navigating Parallel Legal Systems in Australia. *Australian Social Work*, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1080/0312407X.2025.2569469

Fadhilah, N., Hidayati, N., Aisyah, S., & Nurmahmudah, N. (2025). Reevaluating Nafkah Obligations: Female Muslim Scholars’ Insight and Ethics of Gendered Finance in Indonesian Families. *AL-IHKAM: Jurnal Hukum & Pranata Sosial*, *20*(2), 458–489.

Farquhar, M. (2025). Historicizing the Neoliberal Security State: Assemblages of Police and Social Order in Egypt. *International Political Sociology*, *19*(4), olaf036. https://doi.org/10.1093/ips/olaf036

Haberkern, K., & Szydlik, M. (2025). Happy Childhood — Happy Life ? Family Relations and Life Satisfaction. *Social Indicators Research*, *180*, 1475–1493. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-025-03712-9

Ḥāmidī, ʻAbd al-Karīm. (2008). *Maqāṣid al-Qur'ān min tashrīʻ al-aḥkām*. Dār Ibn Ḥazm.

Ibn ʻĀshūr, M. al-Ṭāhir. (1984). *Al-taḥrīr wa al-tanwīr*. al-Dār al-Tūnisiyyah li al-Nashr.

Jumʻah, M. M. (2021). *Al-amn al-mujtamaʻī*. Wizārat al-Awqāf.

Kretschmann, A. (2025). On Pierre Bourdieu's Legal Thought: Toward a Classic of Socio-Legal Studies. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, *21*(1), 17–34. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-lawsocsci-062124-122138

Mansour-Ille, D. (2021). Counterterrorism policies in the Middle East and North Africa: A regional perspective. *International Review of the Red Cross*, *103*(916–917), 653–679. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1816383121000655

Mant, J. (2020). Working Politically: Combining Socio-Legal Tools to Study Experiences of Law. *German Law Journal*, *21*(7), 1464–1480. https://doi.org/10.1017/glj.2020.78

Mohadi, M. (2023). Normative Islamic Conceptualizations of Families and Kinship Through Maqasid Perspectives: A Comprehensive Literature Study. *Malaysian Journal of Syariah and Law*, *11*(2), 290–309. https://doi.org/10.33102/mjsl.vol11no2.459

Moradipoor, S. (2025). Comparative Study of Family Law Systems in East and West. *Advanced Journal of Management, Humanity and Social Science*, *1*(8), 497–505. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17387385

Mukhtar, S. (2018). Islamic Law and Trademark Protection. *Malaysian Journal of Syariah and Law*, *6*(1), 1–28. https://doi.org/10.33102/mjsl.vol6no1.56

Munir, Z. A. H. (2023). Justice and Peace: the Reconstruction of Inheritance Law Based on Tradition in Lombok. *Russian Law Journal*, *11*(2), 25–32.

Novita, D., Sar'an, M., Ahmad Ridwansah, A., Suharyono, S., & Ardiansyah, H. (2025). Family Conflict Disclosure on Social Media in Islamic Law: Islah as a Reconciliation Mechanism. *Al-Istinbath: Jurnal Hukum Islam*, *10*(1), 443–458. https://doi.org/10.29240/jhi.v10i1.12658

Nurjanah, S., Syarifudin, A., Baidhowi, M. M., Mahmudi, E., & Darussalam, H. (2025). Children's Rights in Islamic Law: A Contemporary Study of Family Practices. *MILRev: Metro Islamic Law Review*, *4*(2), 933–953. https://doi.org/10.32332/milrev.v4i2.10077

Ouchelh, Y. (2024). Institutionalizing Female Religious Activity : The Case of Murshidat in Morocco Younes Ouchelh. *American Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development (AJMRD)*, *06*(03), 20–41.

Putra Halomoan Hsb, Fatahuddin Aziz Siregar, & Suud Sarim Karimullah. (2025). Inheritance in the Mandailing Community: Value Changes from a Legal Culture Perspective. *Nurani: Jurnal Kajian Syari'ah Dan Masyarakat*, *25*(1), 83–108. https://doi.org/10.19109/nurani.v25i1.24870

Roman, N. V, Balogun, T. V, Butler-kruger, L., Danga, S. D., Lange, J. T. De, Human-

hendricks, A., Khaile, F. T., October, K. R., & Olabiyi, O. J. (2025). Strengthening Family Bonds: A Systematic Review of Factors and Interventions That Enhance Family Cohesion. *Social Science*, *14*(6), 1–31. https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci14060371

Saepullah, A., Aziz, A., Widayanti, I., Nafi'Hasbi, M. Z., & Muhammad, A. A. (2025). A Contemporary Socio-Legal Evaluation of Indonesia's Post-Reformation Child Marriage Policies. *MILRev: Metro Islamic Law Review*, *4*(2), 1393–1426. https://doi.org/10.32332/milrev.v4i2.10322

Smith, R. (2024). Complexity of Legal Harmonisation in Southeast Asia: A Diversity of Legal Systems & Languages. *Athens Journal of Law*, *10*(2), 233–254. https://doi.org/10.30958/ajl.10-2-4

Sonneveld, N. (2019). Divorce Reform in Egypt and Morocco: Men and Women Navigating Rights and Duties. *Islamic Law and Society*, *26*(1–2), 149–178. https://doi.org/10.1163/15685195-00260A01

Spitz, A., & Steinhausen, H.-C. (2023). Development of Family Adaptability and Cohesion from Adolescence to Young Adulthood and Associations with Parental Behavior. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, *32*, 2036–2045. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-023-02562-8

Treuthart, M. P. (2019). Connectivity: The global gender digital divide and its implications for women's human rights and equality. *Gonz. J. Int'l L.*, *23*, 1.

Tsoutsi, V., & Dikeos, D. (2024). behavioral sciences Family Functioning and Cohesion Scale: Validation of a Short Instrument for the Assessment of Intrafamily Relations. *Behavioral Sciences*, *14*(10), 969. https://doi.org/doi.org/10.3390/bs14100969

Urinboyev, R., & Ibragimov, D. (2025). Understanding children's rights in the context of a hybrid legal regime: a socio-legal analysis of child custody issues in Uzbekistan. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*, *39*(1), ebaf011. https://doi.org/10.1093/lawfam/ebaf011

Wildan, D., & Syamarina, D. (2024). Understanding the Contemporary Social Construction of Sirri Marriages in Indonesia: An Analysis of Islamic Family Law Perspectives. *Legitima: Jurnal Hukum Keluarga Islam*, *7*(1), 127–146. https://doi.org/10.33367/legitima.v7i1.6203

Yangto, Sayehu, Tarihoran, N., & Almagdub, M. A. (2025). Contemporary Challenges and Prospects of Mediation in Contested Divorce Cases in Indonesia. *NUSANTARA: Journal Of Law Studies*, *4*(01), 44–59. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17354688

Yustri, E., Erwan, E., & Ikromi, Z. (2025). Innovation in the Enforcement of Child Support Orders after Divorce in Interfaith Marriages: a Comparative Study of Indonesia and Malaysia. *Islam Transformatif : Journal of Islamic Studies*, *9*(2), 269–288. https://doi.org/10.30983/islamtransformatif.v9i2.10287

Zalewska, A. M., Weziak-bialowolska, D., Grabowska-chenczke, O., Werner-maliszewska, A., & Zawadzka-jab, A. (2025). Family experiences while growing up , personality traits , and well-being : A mediation analysis. *Journal of Research in Personality*, *117*(August). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2025.104630

Zhao, L. (2025). Hierarchy and Harmony: Daughter/Mother-In-Law Conflicts in Han-Hui-Muslim Intermarried Family. *Asian Women*, *41*(3), 23–42. https://doi.org/10.64446/aw.2025.9.41.3.23

Zulfiqar, G. M. (2022). Inequality Regimes, Patriarchal Connectivity, and the Elusive Right to Own Land for Women in Pakistan. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *177*(4), 799–811. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-022-05097-y